

QUALITY REPORT

# Bundled Solar Power Project By Solararise India Projects Pvt. Ltd.

VCS-1762 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-0BC96447 · Generated: 2026-04-15 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a renewable energy avoidance project with VVB-confirmed additionality, but the documentation shows several unresolved reporting gaps and contradictions. The biggest concerns are the unaddressed leakage justification, missing monitoring details, and inconsistent emission reduction figures across documents, which weaken confidence in the claimed credits.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1762
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 19.0
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	LGAI Technological Centre, S.A. (Applus + Certification)
Verified ERs	116,234 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	38 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-15

## Red Flags

- The monitoring report says leakage is not addressed, even though the validation report previously treated it as negligible.
- The documents contain conflicting emission reduction totals and several missing monitoring details, including local stakeholder consultation and some meter evidence.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 4.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the validation report.
- Leakage is not addressed in the monitoring report, and reversal risk is not discussed; buffer pool coverage is also not stated.

The validation report confirms additionality through an investment test and the VVB is identified as LGAI Technological Centre, S.A. (Applus + Certification). However, the monitoring report states leakage is not addressed, buffer pool coverage is not stated, and reversal events are not addressed, which weakens the permanence and leakage side of integrity. The project is an avoidance project, so permanence risk is lower than for removals, but the missing treatment of leakage and reversals still matters.

### Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period in the monitoring report.
- The monitoring report lists multiple corrective actions, including missing stakeholder consultation details and inconsistent emission reduction comparisons.

The monitoring period is clearly stated and the project has a named verifier, which supports basic traceability. At the same time, the monitoring report lists multiple corrective actions, including missing local stakeholder consultation details, unclear deviation timing, and missing JMR submissions for some periods. These gaps reduce transparency because the reported emission reductions are not fully auditable from the extracted record.

### Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces dual-market claim risk.
- The monitoring report shows a leakage justification of not addressed and contradictions in reported emission reduction totals across documents.

The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers the risk of overlapping aviation claims. But the monitoring report gives a leakage treatment of not addressed, while the validation report had treated leakage as negligible, and the reported emission reduction totals differ across documents. Those inconsistencies increase over-crediting and claim-risk concerns even though the project is in a standard renewable energy category.

### Documentation — 5.1 / 10

- + The record draws on many documents, with 38 documents used and high extraction confidence.
- Several required details are missing or incomplete in the monitoring report, and corrective actions were still required.

The evidence base is relatively broad, with 38 documents used and high extraction confidence, which supports the documentation score. Still, the monitoring report required several corrective actions and some key items were missing, including stakeholder consultation details and calibration certificate submission. The crediting period is long and the monitoring period is recent, but the record remains incomplete in important places.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	Avoidance project, but reversal treatment not stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage not addressed in monitoring report
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline stated, reassessment timing missing
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism present, but details incomplete
● <b>Double-claim</b>	Not CORSIA-eligible, CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete, reconciled monitoring package that explains the emission reduction discrepancies and includes all missing JMRs, meter evidence, and stakeholder consultation details.

→ Document a clear leakage assessment and reversal/permanence treatment, including any buffer pool allocation or explicit justification for why it is unnecessary.

## Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Communications Agreement
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation
- Validation Representation
- Validation Report

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-0BC96447 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-15 · Generated: 2026-04-15

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)