

QUALITY REPORT

Bundled Solar Power Project By Vector Green Energy Private Limited

VCS-1770 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-C1EB9F7B · Generated: 2026-04-15 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.2Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
■ Transparency (25%)	6.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.7
■ Documentation (15%)	6.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a VCS solar avoidance project with VVB-confirmed investment-test additionality and no reported reversal events, which supports a moderate integrity profile. However, leakage treatment is weakly documented, there are corrective actions outstanding, and the record contains several contradictions that reduce confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1770
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2024
Project Methodology	ACM0002 18.1
Crediting Period	2016 — 2026
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+Certification)
Verified ERs	295,745 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	31 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-15

Red Flags

- Leakage is described as not addressed in the monitoring report, despite an earlier validation view that it was negligible.
- The verified emission reduction figure differs across validation documents, and the record shows outstanding corrective actions.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

+ Additionality was tested using an investment test and was confirmed by the VVB in the verification materials.

- Leakage justification is not addressed in the monitoring report, and there are no reversal events or buffer pool details found in the extracted record.

The project's additionality is stronger than average because the verification materials confirm an investment test, and the VVB is identified as LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+Certification). The project is an avoidance project, and no reversal events are reported, which helps permanence. That said, leakage is not addressed in the monitoring report, buffer pool information is not found, and two corrective actions remain open, all of which weaken the integrity score.

Transparency — 6.1 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a substantial set of source documents used in extraction.

- The record does not state usage monitoring details, and the verified emissions figures differ across validation documents.

The record includes a named verifier, a monitoring period from 2022-11-01 to 2024-04-30, and a large evidence set, which supports moderate transparency. However, usage monitoring is not stated in the extracted documents, and the verified emissions figure is not fully stable across documents. The absence of CORSIA eligibility and CCP status also limits public claim clarity.

Claim Safety — 5.7 / 10

+ The project is an avoidance-type solar project under ACM0002, which generally lowers permanence risk relative to removal projects.

- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, and CORSIA eligibility / CCP status are not stated in the available record.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that this is a solar avoidance project using ACM0002, which is generally less exposed to permanence concerns than removals. Still, the leakage treatment is inconsistent: the monitoring report says it is not addressed, while an earlier validation document described it as negligible. Because CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, dual-market claim risk cannot be ruled out.

Documentation — 6.8 / 10

+ The extraction drew on 31 documents, including validation, monitoring, PDD, and issuance materials, with high extraction confidence.

- Two corrective actions remain open, and the monitoring period is much later than the crediting start, leaving some recency and completeness concerns.

Documentation quality is reasonably good because 31 documents were used, including validation, monitoring, PDD, and issuance records, and extraction confidence is high. The VVB is named, and safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism are described in the monitoring report. The main weaknesses are the outstanding corrective actions and the fact that the crediting period began long before the monitoring period, which suggests the available evidence is not fully current across the whole project life.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Avoidance project; no reversals reported
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed consistently
● Baseline	Project baseline stated; reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear, quantified leakage assessment and reconcile it with the earlier validation statement that leakage was negligible.

→ Resolve the outstanding corrective actions and provide a reconciled emissions statement explaining the difference between the reported verified figures.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Registration Representation
- Communications Agreement
- Project Description
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation
- Validation Representation
- Validation Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-C1EB9F7B · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-15 · Generated: 2026-04-15

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy