

QUALITY REPORT

# Renewable Power Project by Animala Wind Power Private Limited

VCS-1787 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-10DFFF5D · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some strengths: additionality was confirmed by the VVB, the project is registered under VCS, and the verification report shows no material findings. However, reliability is weakened by low extraction confidence, unresolved inconsistencies in emissions reduction figures, and corrective actions noting mismatches between the monitoring report and ER sheet. Leakage treatment is weakly documented, and several key items such as buffer pool coverage, usage monitoring, and CORSIA status are not stated in the extracted record.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1787
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 18.1
Crediting Period	2017 — 2027
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	296,625 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

## Red Flags

- The verification report requires corrective actions because emissions reduction and electricity generation values are inconsistent across documents.
- Leakage is not addressed in the available record, and the earlier document reportedly treated it as not applicable while the later verification report says it is not addressed.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core crediting claim.
- Leakage is not addressed in the verification report, buffer pool coverage is not stated, and corrective actions were required for inconsistent monitoring values.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB verified the project, which is a positive sign for credit integrity. At the same time, leakage is not addressed in the latest report, buffer pool coverage is not stated, and corrective actions were required because emissions reduction and electricity generation values were inconsistent in the monitoring materials. The baseline is project-specific rather than jurisdictional, and the last reassessment date is not found in the extracted record.

### Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The verification report identifies the VVB, monitoring period, and equal claimed versus verified emissions reductions of 296,625 credits.
- Low extraction confidence and missing details on usage monitoring, buffer pool, and baseline reassessment reduce documentation clarity.

The record includes the VVB name, the monitoring period from 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and matching claimed and verified reductions of 296,625, which helps traceability. However, usage monitoring is not stated, buffer pool information is missing, and the extraction confidence is low, which suggests at least one key source was hard to read. The absence of a clear baseline reassessment date also limits transparency.

### Claim Safety — 4.4 / 10

- + The project is a renewable power activity under VCS with a project baseline and no material findings reported in verification.
- The claimed and verified emissions reductions are large and the record shows contradictions on both leakage treatment and emissions reduction totals across documents.

Claim safety is moderate because the project is a renewable energy activity under VCS and the verification report reports no material findings. That said, the large emissions reduction claim is complicated by documented inconsistencies in the monitoring report and ER sheet, and the later report changes leakage treatment from not applicable to not addressed. With CORSIA eligibility and CCP status not stated, dual-claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

### Documentation — 3.2 / 10

- + Twenty-two documents were used and the verification report is dated 2023-08-04, which is relatively recent for the stated monitoring period.
- Min extraction confidence is low, and the report itself flags inconsistencies between the monitoring report and ER sheet.

Documentation quality is weakened by low extraction confidence and by the fact that the evidence list contains only an unknown source label even though 22 documents were used. The verification report is recent relative to the monitoring period, but key fields such as buffer pool, usage monitoring, baseline reassessment, and reversal details are not found in the extracted record. The presence of corrective actions also indicates that the documentation package was not fully consistent.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	No reversal data; buffer not stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage not addressed
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline; reassessment missing
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear reconciliation of the emissions reduction and electricity generation discrepancies across the monitoring report, ER sheet, and verification report.

→ State leakage treatment, buffer pool coverage, baseline reassessment timing, and usage monitoring methodology explicitly in the public documentation.

## Documents Reviewed

- 19.55 Issuance Animala.pdf
- vcs-issuance-representation 1787.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation\_Animala.pdf
- VCS Issuance Deed Representation Animala.pdf
- MR 1787 V02 03032020.pdf
- VCS Joint PDMR\_Animala\_V02\_13.10.2018.pdf
- MR\_1787 V3.0\_Clean.pdf
- VCS-MR\_Animala\_Clean.pdf
- Letter for transfer of VCS project\_EKI Energy Private Limited.pdf
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-single-PP-4753632-v1-SYDDMS-1.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation\_Animala.pdf
- Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report-Animala\_2019-20 VCS 1787.pdf
- COI\_Greenko Renewable.pdf
- VCS-Ver-Representation-v4.0\_19.55.pdf
- FVR\_VCS 1787\_ESPL 19.55\_final.pdf
- FVR-6318-Animala-Val-Ver-13.10.2018.pdf
- FVR\_VKU.VER.105.23\_VCS\_1787\_Clean.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.pdf
- 6318\_VCS Verification Representation, v3.3.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1\_VKU.VER.105.23\_VCS\_1787\_signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-Animala-Clean.pdf
- 6318\_VCS Validation Representation, v3.3.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-10DFFF5D · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)