

QUALITY REPORT

Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited

VCS-1788 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-1475F2F0 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered wind power project with VVB-verified additionality and no reversal events applicable, which supports a moderate integrity profile. However, leakage is not addressed in the latest verification record, key quantitative MRV fields are missing, and the extraction confidence is low, which weakens both transparency and documentation quality.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1788
Sector	other
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 18.12
Crediting Period	2017 — 2027
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	21 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is described as not addressed in the latest verification report, despite an earlier document saying it was deemed negligible.
- The record has low extraction confidence and only one evidence document is identified, limiting confidence in completeness.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ The verification report confirms additionality was checked by the VVB, and the project is a renewable power activity under ACM0002.

- Leakage is not addressed in the latest verification report, and the record shows no quantified leakage deduction.

The verification report confirms additionality was verified by VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd., which is a positive sign for project integrity. The project is a wind power activity under ACM0002, and reversal events are marked as not applicable, so permanence risk is low. However, leakage is not addressed in the latest verification record, and there is no quantified leakage deduction, which weakens the integrity assessment.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated for 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and the VVB is named as VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.

- Claimed versus verified emission reduction totals are not stated, and the usage monitoring method is not found in the extracted record.

The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and the VVB is identified, which supports basic traceability. But the extracted record does not state the verified or claimed emission reductions, and the usage monitoring method is not found. With low extraction confidence and only one evidence document identified, the transparency score is constrained.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

+ The project uses a standard renewable-energy methodology and has no reported reversal events, which reduces some over-crediting concerns.

- The latest record does not address leakage, and no CORSIA or CCP status is stated, leaving dual-claim and over-crediting risk only partially bounded.

The project's renewable-energy methodology and absence of reversal events reduce some claim-risk concerns. Still, the latest verification report says leakage is not addressed, while an earlier document reportedly treated it as negligible; I privileged the later verification report because it is more recent and more specific to the verified monitoring period. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so dual-claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

Documentation — 3.4 / 10

+ The verification report is dated 2023-10-09 and covers a recent monitoring period, which helps recency.

- Extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is identified in the extracted record, and several key fields are missing or not stated.

The documentation is dated and includes a recent verification report, which is helpful. However, the extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is identified in the extracted record, and several important fields are missing, including emission totals and monitoring details. The report also notes three CLs and three CARs that were closed, which suggests some issues were identified during verification even if they were resolved.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal risk applicable
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the verified and claimed emission reduction totals, along with the full monitoring methodology and calculation sheets.

→ Clarify leakage treatment and publish the basis for the FPIC and safeguard documentation in the latest verification package.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3 (1).pdf
- VCS-Issuance -Representation.pdf
- 19.53 Issuance Representation Saipuram.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation_Saipuram.pdf
- 2. MR_Version 2.0 Clean.pdf
- MR V02 02032020.pdf
- VCS Joint PDMR_Saipuram_V03_16.11.2018.pdf
- MR_v4.2_Saipuram 102.5 MW_clean.pdf
- Letter for transfer of VCS project_EKI Energy Private Limited.pdf
- Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report-Saipuram_2019-20.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation_Saipuram.pdf
- Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report-1788-Greenko.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_VKU.VER.106.23_VCS_1788_signed.pdf
- FVR_VCS 1788_ESPL 19.53_final.pdf
- 6418_VCS Validation Representation, v3.3.pdf
- 6418_VCS Verification Representation, v3.3.pdf
- FVR-6418-Saipuram (Greenko)-Val-Ver_08.12.2018.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-Saipuram.pdf
- FVR_VKU.VER.106.23_VCS_1788_Clean.pdf
- VCS-Ver-Representation-v4.1_VCS 1788_ESPL 19.53.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-1475F2F0 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy