

QUALITY REPORT

Renewable Power Project by Devarahipparigi Wind Power Private Limited

VCS-1793 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-B0982473 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.9
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversal events, but the evidence package has notable quality issues. The verification report raised 10 findings, including CARs and a FAR, and the reported emission reductions differ materially from an earlier verification record, which weakens confidence in the claim.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1793
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 18.1
Crediting Period	2017 — 2027
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	476,001 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification report raised 10 findings, including 06 CARs, 03 CLs, and 01 FAR, with unresolved wording around stakeholder communication and calculation consistency.
- A later verification record reports 476,001 verified ERs, while an earlier record in the contradictions list shows 268,604, indicating a large cross-document inconsistency.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report, which supports the project's core eligibility claim.
- The verification report lists multiple findings and corrective actions, including a mismatch between the emission reduction formula and the applied methodology, which weakens confidence in the quantified reductions.

The verification report confirms additionality through VVB review, which is a meaningful positive for integrity. However, the report also lists 10 findings, including 06 CARs, 03 CLs, and 01 FAR, and specifically notes that the net emission reduction formula was not in line with the applied methodology. Reversal risk is not well addressed because reversal events are marked as not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is available.

Transparency — 4.9 / 10

- + The monitoring period and verified emission total are stated in the verification report, and the project is clearly identified under VCS with a named VVB.
- Key transparency items are not stated in the extracted record, including the usage monitoring method, leakage deduction, and baseline reassessment timing; extraction confidence is also low.

The project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period from 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and a verified total of 476,001 ERs in the verification report. Still, several transparency-critical items are not stated in the extracted record, including the usage monitoring method, baseline reassessment timing, and leakage deduction. The low extraction confidence further reduces trust in the completeness of the documentation.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

- + The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces dual-market claim risk on that channel.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a standardized or jurisdictional baseline, and the large discrepancy in verified ERs across documents raises over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that the project is not CORSIA-eligible, lowering one channel of dual-claim risk. But the baseline is project-specific, leakage treatment is only described as deemed negligible without a quantified deduction, and the verification report notes that actual emission reductions were 9.9% higher than the registered PDD estimate for the comparable period. The large discrepancy between the later verified ER total and the earlier figure in the contradictions list also raises over-crediting concerns.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

- + The record includes a substantial set of extracted facts from official documents, including a verification report dated 2024-01-19 and 22 documents used.
- Extraction confidence is low, and several important fields are missing or not stated, which limits documentation reliability and completeness.

The evidence set is reasonably broad, with 22 documents used and a recent verification report dated 2024-01-19. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, and multiple important fields are missing or only partially described, such as the usage monitoring method and baseline reassessment. The presence of several corrective actions and a FAR also indicates that the documentation package was not clean or fully settled.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	Negligible but not quantified
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled emissions calculation trail that explains the 9.9% difference versus the registered PDD estimate and resolves the earlier verified ER discrepancy.

→ Disclose the baseline reassessment history, leakage treatment, and any buffer or reversal provisions in a single consistent monitoring package.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS-Issuance-Representation_DWPPL.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1 (1)_Devarahipparigi Wind Power Private Limited.pdf
- 19.52 Issuance Representation devarahipparigi.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3 (2) (1).pdf
- MR-v4.2_100MW Greenko Devarparighi_Clean.pdf
- VCS Joint PDMR_Devarahipparigi _V03_14.11.2018.pdf
- MR V2 1793.pdf
- MR V02 03032020.pdf
- Letter for transfer of VCS project_EKI Energy Private Limited.pdf
- PRR_1793_Final.pdf
- Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report_DEVARAHIPPARIGI WIND.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation_DWPPL.pdf
- Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report-1793-Greenko.pdf
- 6618_VCS Validation Representation, v3.3.pdf
- FVR-6618-DWPPL (Greenko)-Val-Ver_08.12.2018.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_VKU.VER.110.23_VCS_1793_signed.pdf
- VCS.VER.21.18-Verification-Report.pdf
- 6618_VCS Verification Representation, v3.3.pdf
- FVR_VCS 1793_ESPL 19.52_final.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation_ID1793.pdf
- FVR_VKU.VER.110.23_VCS_1793_Clean.pdf
- VCS-Ver-Representation-v4.1_VCS 1793_ESPL 19.52.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B0982473 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy