

## QUALITY REPORT

# 10 MW wind power project by Powerica at BDS - Gujarat

VCS-1822 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-D0AEAE4B · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

**6.3**Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.7
■ Transparency (25%)	6.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.8
■ Documentation (15%)	6.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS wind project with VVB-confirmed investment-test additionality and no material findings reported in the latest monitoring record. However, leakage is not addressed in the monitoring facts, baseline reassessment timing is not stated, and there are material discrepancies in reported ER totals across documents, which weakens confidence in the claim.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1822
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AMS I.D. 18.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2027
VVB	LGAI Technological Center S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Verified ERs	27,140 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

## Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the latest monitoring facts, despite an earlier document describing it as negligible.
- Reported ER totals differ across documents, with 27,140 in the later validation record versus 38,098 in earlier monitoring/validation records.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.7 / 10

- + Additionality was tested using an investment test and was confirmed by the VVB in the monitoring record.
- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the latest monitoring facts, and no leakage deduction is stated.

The monitoring report dated 2023-07-18 confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB found no material findings. That supports the project's core integrity, but the latest facts do not state any leakage deduction and mark leakage as not addressed, which is a meaningful weakness for a wind project. The baseline is project-based, and the record does not state when it was last reassessed, so baseline robustness is only moderate.

### Transparency — 6.1 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined 2022 monitoring period, and claimed and verified ER totals are both stated in the record.
- The record does not state a usage monitoring method, and the ER figures are inconsistent across documents.

Transparency is helped by the presence of a named verifier, a clear 2022 monitoring period, and matching claimed and verified ER totals in the latest record. Still, the extracted facts do not state a usage monitoring method, and the project's public record appears internally inconsistent on ER quantities. The documentation is therefore usable but not fully clean or complete.

### Claim Safety — 5.8 / 10

- + The project is a renewable-energy wind project under VCS, which is generally lower risk than many crediting categories.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a more conservative standardized baseline, and leakage treatment is weakly documented.

Claim safety is moderate because this is a renewable wind project under VCS, which is generally less exposed to over-crediting than many other project types. However, the project uses a project-specific baseline, leakage is not addressed in the latest monitoring facts, and the ER totals vary materially across documents. Those issues increase the risk that the credited claim is less conservative than it should be.

### Documentation — 6.4 / 10

- + Nineteen documents were used, and extraction confidence is high.
- A corrective action notes inconsistency between the content page and the VCS monitoring report, indicating documentation quality issues.

Documentation quality is fair: nineteen documents were used, extraction confidence is high, and the latest monitoring report is dated 2023-07-18. On the negative side, a corrective action explicitly notes inconsistency between the content page and the VCS monitoring report, which suggests some document-quality problems. The record also lacks several specifics, including buffer pool treatment and baseline reassessment timing.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	Avoidance project with no reversal events reported
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage not addressed in latest record
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline, reassessment timing missing
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and safeguards noted
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear leakage assessment for the monitored period, including any quantified deduction or a documented justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Reconcile the conflicting ER totals across the monitoring and validation documents and publish a clear explanation of which figure is final and why.

## Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- Listing Representation
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-D0AEAE4B · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14  
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy