

## QUALITY REPORT

# Wind Power Project at Theni by Powerica Limited

VCS-1830 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-406F974C · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

**4.1**Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	<b>4.2</b>
■ Transparency (25%)	<b>4.8</b>
■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>4.0</b>
■ Documentation (15%)	<b>3.1</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive signs, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, and no reported reversal events. However, the verification record contains many corrective actions and material findings, including unresolved concerns about regulatory surplus, double counting, monitoring gaps, and inconsistent project documentation, which materially weaken confidence in the claimed reductions.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1830
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AMS –I.D. Version 18
Crediting Period	2020 — 2030
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Verified ERs	98,590 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	35 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

## Red Flags

- The verification report lists multiple CARs and six assessment findings, including missing proof for no double counting between CDM and VCS and incomplete monitoring evidence.
- The project description and verification materials contain contradictions on the crediting period, leakage treatment, reversal treatment, and benefit-sharing disclosure.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which is stronger than an unverified assertion.
- The verification report records major findings, including that regulatory surplus was not addressed and that the project had to provide proof of monitoring for part of the first crediting period.

The project has a positive integrity signal because the VVB confirmed additionality through an investment test, and the record shows a project baseline with a leakage deduction of 0%. On the other hand, the verification report lists serious issues, including that regulatory surplus was not addressed, the period from 13 September 2010 to 1 May 2011 was not monitored or verified, and multiple CARs were raised. These findings reduce confidence in the robustness of the credited reductions.

### Transparency — 4.8 / 10

- + The monitoring period is stated as 2022-01-01 to 2023-06-30, and the VVB name is identified in the issuance record.
- The extracted record shows low extraction confidence and many documentation gaps, including no claimed emissions figure and no stated usage monitoring method.

Transparency is mixed. The monitoring period and VVB are identified, and the issuance record provides a verified emissions figure of 98,590. However, the extracted record has low confidence, the claimed emissions total is not found, and the usage monitoring method is not stated in available documents. The documentation also contains many corrections and missing details, which limits public clarity.

### Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + The project is a wind power activity under AMS-I.D. with a project baseline and a leakage deduction of 0%, which is directionally supportive of lower over-crediting risk.
- The verification findings flag missing evidence on double counting, inconsistent monitoring details, and unresolved regulatory surplus, all of which raise claim-safety concerns.

Claim safety is weakened by the verification findings even though the project type and baseline approach are broadly consistent with a renewable energy activity. The report notes missing undertakings for no double counting between CDM and VCS, inconsistencies in the monitoring report, and incomplete invoices and calibration evidence. These issues increase the risk of over-crediting or weakly supported claims.

### Documentation — 3.1 / 10

- + A large number of official documents were used, and the issuance record is recent, dated 2023-10-25.
- The extraction confidence is low and the record contains many unresolved findings and corrective actions, including missing grievance details and incomplete monitoring evidence.

Documentation quality is below average because, despite a relatively large document set and a recent issuance date, the extraction confidence is low and the record contains many unresolved findings. The VVB requested numerous corrections, including missing grievance records, incorrect project title, inconsistent meter accuracy class, and missing monitoring evidence. That combination suggests the file is not fully complete or cleanly documented.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	No reversals reported, but project risk not fully evidenced
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage deemed negligible with 0% deduction
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline stated, but reassessment detail limited
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism present, but grievance records
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled verification package that resolves the crediting-period contradiction, the CDM/VCS double-counting concern, and the missing monitoring evidence.

→ Publish complete monitoring support, including grievance records, calibration certificates, invoice support, and a clear explanation of the baseline, leakage, and any other program claims.

## Documents Reviewed

- V3\_PRR\_1830\_9MAR2022.pdf
- VCS 1830 Issuance deed.PDF
- Issuance Deed VCS 1830.pdf
- Deed of Representation\_1830\_Theni.pdf
- Project ID 1830\_PRR\_response\_Dec.pdf
- Issuance Deed WPP Theni.pdf
- Issuance deed VCS 1830.pdf
- CPRV\_PRR\_1830\_09MAR2022.pdf
- VCS MR\_V02\_29122018.pdf
- VCS 1830 MR.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report Powerica VCS 1830 v03 29.09.2020\_Final.pdf
- VCS 1830 MR V03 20062022 Clean.pdf
- Final VCS\_1830\_(RCP+MR).pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report Powerica VCS 1830.pdf
- Reg. Deed WPP Theni.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation-1830-v4.1.pdf
- 1830\_Exemption Letter\_9Mar2022.pdf
- VCS PD\_V02\_29122018.pdf
- CDM\_PDD\_Version\_03.pdf
- Final VCS-Joint-Validation-Verification-report.pdf
- 9718\_VCS Verification Representation, v3.3.pdf
- FVR\_Powerica Theni-TQC 9718-2019.03.28.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.pdf
- VCS 1830\_FVR\_2022\_IQ\_MD 4\_2.0Aa\_05 Dec. 2022.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-Template-Powarica\_1830.pdf
- VCS-Ver.-Representation-v4.1\_Project ID\_1830\_signed.pdf
- 9718\_VCS Validation Representation, v3.3.pdf
- FVR\_Powerica VCS 1830\_ESPL 19.36\_29 09 2020\_Final.pdf
- VCS1830\_FVR.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1 (2).pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1\_Powerica VCS 1830\_ESPL%2019.36 (1).pdf
- Validation\_report\_Powerica (1).pdf

---

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-406F974C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19  
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy