

QUALITY REPORT

# 100 MW SOLAR PROJECT IN BHADLA IN RAJASTHAN.

VCS-1842 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-F23C11B4 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

<b>5.1</b> Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	<b>5.2</b>
	■ Transparency (25%)	<b>5.0</b>
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>4.6</b>
	■ Documentation (15%)	<b>6.0</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS solar project with VVB-verified additionality and a recent monitoring report, which supports a moderate-quality score. However, key risk controls are weakly documented: leakage is not addressed in the latest report, buffer pool information is missing, and there are material inconsistencies in claimed versus verified emission reductions and in the credited period.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1842
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 19
Crediting Period	2018 — 2028
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd
Verified ERs	342,648 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	30 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

## Red Flags

- The latest monitoring report says leakage is not addressed, while an older report treated it as negligible.
- There is a large discrepancy between claimed and verified emission reductions, and the credited period differs between the monitoring report and issuance records.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.2 / 10

- + Additionality was tested using an investment test and was confirmed by the VVB in the monitoring report.
- Leakage is not addressed in the 2025 monitoring report, and buffer pool coverage is not stated.

The project's additionality is reasonably supported because the monitoring report states an investment test and says it was verified by the VVB, VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd. The baseline is project-based under ACM0002, which is acceptable for a solar project, but permanence-related evidence is thin because buffer pool coverage is not stated and reversal events are not addressed. Leakage is a weakness: the 2025 monitoring report says it is not addressed, even though an older report described it as negligible.

### Transparency — 5.0 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and registry status is available.
- The latest report shows a large gap between claimed and verified emission reductions, which weakens reporting clarity.

Transparency is moderate because the project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period from 2021-09-01 to 2023-03-31, and registry information is available. Still, the latest monitoring report shows a large difference between total emission reductions claimed and verified, and the record does not provide usage monitoring details. The absence of a clear buffer pool statement and the lack of a quantified leakage deduction also reduce reporting clarity.

### Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

- + The project uses ACM0002 for a grid-connected renewable energy activity, which is a standard methodology for this sector.
- The latest monitoring report does not address leakage, and the contradiction in credited period and emission figures raises over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weakened by the unresolved leakage treatment and by the discrepancy between claimed and verified emission reductions. The project is a grid-connected solar installation using ACM0002, which is generally lower risk than many other project types, but the latest report does not provide a leakage justification and the credited period conflict suggests some uncertainty in the issuance trail. No CORSIA or CCP status is stated, so dual-claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

### Documentation — 6.0 / 10

- + The record includes multiple official document types, 30 extracted documents, and high extraction confidence.
- The monitoring report lists several corrective actions, including missing details and formatting issues, indicating documentation quality problems.

Documentation quality is fair but not strong. The record includes multiple official document types and 30 extracted documents, and extraction confidence is high, which helps. However, the monitoring report lists several corrective actions, including missing project-operation details, missing entity disclosure, meter calibration updates, and formatting corrections, showing that the report needed cleanup before acceptance.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	Buffer pool not stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage not addressed in latest report
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline under ACM0002
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance present, but partial reporting
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, quantified leakage assessment and reconcile the 2020 and 2025 statements.

→ Publish a clean emissions reconciliation showing why claimed and verified reductions differ, along with the correct credited-period history.

## Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Communications Agreement
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Verification Representation
- Verification Report
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-F23C11B4 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)