QUALITY REPORT

# 3.5MW Manuni Hydro Electric Project in Himanchal Pradesh by Winsome Textile Industries Ltd

VCS-1861 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-42BBF9D7 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 6.4 Overall Score out of 10 | Integrity (35%) | 6.8 |
| | Transparency (25%) | 6.2 |
| | Claim Safety (25%) | 5.8 |
| | Documentation (15%) | 7.1 |

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS hydropower project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no material findings reported in the monitoring record. However, leakage is not addressed, the baseline is project-specific rather than standardized, and there is a material discrepancy in verified emission reductions between validation documents that weakens confidence in the claimed volume.

## Project Details

| | |
|---|---|
| Registry | Verra (VCS) |
| Registry ID | VCS-1861 |
| Sector | other |
| Country | India |
| Vintage | Stale |
| Project Methodology | AMS-I.D. 18, EB 81, Annex 14 |
| Crediting Period | 2017 — 2027 |
| VVB | Earthood Services Private Limited |
| Verified ERs | 22,246 $tCO_2e$ |
| Monitoring Period | 2020 — 2021 |
| Confidence | Medium |
| Documents Reviewed | 13 documents reviewed |
| Scored | 2026-04-14 |

## Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed, with a 0% deduction but no supporting justification in the extracted record.
- Validation documents conflict on verified emission reductions, with 29,477 in the earlier validation report and 22,246 in the later one.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.8 / 10

+ Additionality was tested using an investment test and the VVB confirmed it in the monitoring report.

- Leakage is not addressed, and the project uses a project baseline with no reassessment date found.

The monitoring report dated 2022-08-06 shows an investment test and states that additionality was verified by Earthood Services Private Limited, which supports the project's core integrity case. No material findings or corrective actions were reported, but the baseline is project-specific and no reassessment date is found, while leakage is marked as not addressed despite a 0% deduction.

### Transparency — 6.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated for 2020-01-01 to 2021-12-31, and the VVB is named as Earthood Services Private Limited.

- The record does not state total claimed emission reductions, and the usage monitoring method is not found in the extracted documents.

The documentation identifies the VVB, the monitoring period from 2020-01-01 to 2021-12-31, and the methodology version used, which helps traceability. At the same time, total claimed emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and the usage monitoring method is not found, leaving gaps in MRV transparency.

### Claim Safety — 5.8 / 10

+ The project is a renewable hydropower activity under AMS-I.D., which generally lowers reversal risk compared with land-use projects.

- The contradiction in verified emission reductions and the lack of leakage justification increase over-crediting risk.

As a hydropower project under AMS-I.D., the activity type is relatively straightforward and does not carry the permanence risks seen in sequestration projects. Still, the absence of leakage justification and the discrepancy between verified emission reduction figures in validation documents reduce confidence that the credited volume is fully conservative.

### Documentation — 7.1 / 10

+ Thirteen documents were used, including issuance, PDD, validation report, and monitoring report, with high extraction confidence.

+ FPIC, grievance mechanism, and benefit-sharing are all mentioned in the extracted record.

The record draws on 13 documents and includes issuance, PDD, validation, and monitoring materials, with high extraction confidence. FPIC, a grievance mechanism, and benefit-sharing are mentioned, which strengthens the documentary trail. However, the crediting period is long and no baseline reassessment date is available, so the documentation is solid but not complete.

## Risk Indicators

| | |
|---|---|
| **Additionality** | VVB-confirmed investment test |
| **Permanence** | Avoidance project with no reversal risk |
| **Leakage** | Leakage not justified |
| **Baseline** | Project baseline, reassessment not stated |
| **Safeguards** | FPIC and grievance mechanism present |
| **Double-claim** | CORSIA/CCP status not stated |

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear leakage assessment with justification for the 0% deduction, or quantify and explain any leakage treatment.

→ Resolve the emission reduction discrepancy across validation documents and publish a reconciled, final verified figure with supporting explanation.

## Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation



Report ID: CM-42BBF9D7 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy