QUALITY REPORT

Bundled renewable energy project in Gujarat and Karnataka, India

VCS-1878 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-928DE1DE · Generated: 2026-04-08 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.5 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	6.2
	Transparency (25%)	6.8
	Claim Safety (25%)	6.0
	Documentation (15%)	7.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This VCS bundled renewable energy project reports verified emission reductions that match the claimed amount for the 2018–2022 monitoring period, with additionality confirmed by the VVB using an investment test. However, baseline setting is project-specific and leakage treatment is not addressed in the extracted record, which increases over-crediting and claims risk despite otherwise solid documentation.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1878
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AMS I.D. 18
Crediting Period	2018 — 2028
VVB	4K Earth Science Pvt ltd
Verified ERs	41,663 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2018 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	11 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-07

Red Flags

- ■ Leakage is not addressed in the extracted record (no justification and no stated deduction), creating uncertainty around net credited reductions.
- ■ Baseline is project-specific and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated, increasing the risk that grid/baseline assumptions become outdated.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

+ The validation/verification record indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test.

- Leakage treatment is not addressed in the extracted record (no stated deduction or justification), weakening confidence in net ERs.

The validation/verification record indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports additionality robustness. The baseline is described as project-specific and the extracted record does not state when the baseline was last reassessed, which is a weakness for a grid-connected renewable project where grid factors can change over time. Leakage is not addressed in the extracted record (no justification and no deduction stated), which reduces confidence that credited ERs reflect net impacts. No material findings or corrective actions are reported, which is supportive but does not fully offset the leakage/baseline gaps.

Transparency — 6.8 / 10

+ The monitoring report (2023-10-16) provides a clear monitoring period (2018-03-08 to 2022-08-31) and the verified ERs equal the claimed ERs (41,663).

- Key MRV elements are missing from the extracted record (e.g., usage monitoring method not stated; leakage deduction not stated).

The monitoring report dated 2023-10-16 clearly states the monitoring period (2018-03-08 to 2022-08-31). The total emission reductions claimed equal the total verified amount (41,663), which is a positive signal for MRV consistency. However, the extracted record does not include a stated leakage deduction or leakage justification, and does not state a usage monitoring method, limiting transparency on key quantification controls.

Claim Safety — 6.0 / 10

+ Claimed and verified ERs are consistent (41,663), reducing immediate over-issuance risk for the reported period.

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, leaving uncertainty about downstream claims and labeling.

Over-crediting risk is moderated by the match between claimed and verified ERs (41,663) for the monitoring period, as reflected in the monitoring/verification outcome. Claim safety is weakened by the absence of leakage treatment in the extracted record and by reliance on a project-specific baseline with no stated reassessment timing. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, which increases uncertainty for buyers about how credits may be marketed and used.

Documentation — 7.6 / 10

+ A relatively complete document set is indicated (PDD, validation report, monitoring report, issuance) with 11 documents used and high extraction confidence.

~ VVB is identified (4K Earth Science Pvt ltd), but the extracted record does not provide details to assess independence beyond naming.

The extracted record indicates a reasonably complete documentation package (PDD, validation report, monitoring report, issuance) and that 11 documents were used, supporting completeness. Minimum extraction confidence is high, suggesting the underlying documents were readable and the extracted facts are likely reliable. The monitoring report is recent (2023-10-16) relative to the monitoring period end (2022-08-31), which supports recency. No corrective actions are reported, which is consistent with a clean verification outcome.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	No reversal risk for grid renewables
Leakage	Leakage not addressed
Baseline	Project-specific; reassessment not stated
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Explicitly document leakage assessment and, if applicable, quantify and apply a leakage deduction (or justify why it is negligible) in the monitoring/verification record.

→ Provide clearer baseline governance details, including when the baseline/grid factor was last reassessed and how updates are handled over the crediting period.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- Communications Agreement
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Verification Report
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation
- Verification Representation

Report ID: CM-928DE1DE · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-07 · Generated: 2026-04-08

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy