

QUALITY REPORT

Bikes for the Planet - Brazil

VCS-1884 · VCS · Brazil

Report ID: CM-A9D77431 · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.7 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.5
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project shows some positive elements (VVB-verified additionality and named verifier, recent monitoring report) but has material weaknesses: leakage is not addressed, baseline is project-specific and not recently reassessed, and there are contradictory emission reporting figures. These issues reduce confidence in the reliability and integrity of credited emission reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1884
Sector	other
Country	Brazil
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AMS-III.BM 01.0
Crediting Period	2018 — 2028
VVB	Earthood
Verified ERs	2,519 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	20 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

Red Flags

- Large contradiction in reported emission reductions (240 vs 2,519) across documents
- Leakage explicitly not addressed and no leakage deduction stated

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + Additionality confirmed by the VVB (validation/verification documented by Earthood in the verification report)
- Leakage not addressed (monitoring report states leakage justification: not_addressed) and no buffer pool percentage provided

Additionality is supported by a barrier test and confirmed by the VVB (verification report by Earthood). However, the baseline is project-specific and there is no record of a recent baseline reassessment. Leakage is explicitly marked as not addressed in the monitoring report and no leakage deduction percentage or buffer pool percentage is provided, increasing the risk of overstatement. The contradiction in emission reduction figures across documents (240 vs 2,519) further reduces confidence in the reliability of integrity-related data.

Transparency — 5.5 / 10

- + Named verifier (Earthood) and a recent monitoring period reported (2021-01-27 — 2022-10-31) in the monitoring report (2024-02-28)
- Large inconsistency in claimed vs verified emission reductions across documents (240 claimed in 2019 vs 2,519 in 2024), which undermines transparency

The project names the verifier (Earthood) and provides a clear monitoring period (2021-01-27 — 2022-10-31) in the 2024 monitoring report. A substantial evidence set is present (19 documents). Nevertheless, the large inconsistency between historical claimed ERs (240 in 2019) and the more recent figure (2,519 in 2024) is a major transparency concern and suggests recordkeeping or reporting problems.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + Usage monitored via smart meters (monitoring report), which supports measurement quality
- Project-specific baseline with no recent reassessment and contradictory ERR figures increases over-crediting risk

Measurement quality is supported by smart meter usage monitoring which helps reduce measurement error. But the reliance on a project-specific baseline without a stated reassessment date, the absence of leakage treatment, and the contradictory ERR figures raise over-crediting and greenwashing risks. CORSIA/CCP status is not stated, leaving double-claim risk unresolved.

Documentation — 5.8 / 10

- + Multiple source documents available (validation report, monitoring report, PDD, issuance) and 19 docs extracted; latest monitoring report dated 2024-02-28
- Unclear items remain (no buffer pool pct, baseline reassessment not stated); contradictions between documents indicate data reliability issues

Documentation coverage is reasonably good: multiple document types (validation report, monitoring report, PDD, issuance) were extracted and the latest monitoring report is dated 2024-02-28; extraction confidence is high. However, key fields are missing or not stated (buffer pool percentage, baseline reassessment date, leakage deduction), and contradictory statements across documents (notably ERR, FPIC, safeguards, benefit sharing) reduce the usefulness of the documentation.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed
● Permanence	buffer unclear
● Leakage	not addressed
● Baseline	project-specific
● Safeguards	inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status unknown

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a clear leakage assessment with an explicit deduction or a robust justification for negligible leakage, and state any buffer pool contribution.
- Resolve and publicly reconcile the contradictory emission reduction figures across reports (explain methodology/measurement changes) and document baseline reassessment timing.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Review Report
- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- Communications Agreement
- Bikes for the Planet-220207 (1).kml
- Project Description
- Draft Project Description
- Listing Representation
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A9D77431 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy