QUALITY REPORT

100 MW Bundled Wind Power Project In Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh

VCS-1985 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-63AFEBD6 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.1

Overall Score

out of 10

Integrity (35%)	6.9
Transparency (25%)	5.8
Claim Safety (25%)	6.1
Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported material findings, which supports a moderate integrity profile. However, the record contains a material contradiction on verified emissions reductions and several key items are not fully stated, which weakens confidence in the documentation and claim safety.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1985
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 19.0
Crediting Period	2018 — 2028
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	144,048 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	18 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

■ The verified emissions reduction figure conflicts across documents: the later issuance record shows 144,048 tCO2e verified, while an earlier document shows 52,079 tCO2e.

■ Leakage treatment is only described as negligible, with no quantified deduction, and several safeguard items are contradicted by earlier documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.9 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the issuance record.

- Baseline reassessment timing is not stated, and leakage is only described as negligible rather than quantified.

The issuance record states that additionality was verified by VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd. using an investment test, and it reports no material findings. The project uses ACM0002 with a project baseline, but the last baseline reassessment is not stated and leakage is only described as negligible rather than quantified. No reversal events are reported, which is consistent with a wind avoidance project, but the absence of a buffer pool figure leaves permanence documentation incomplete.

Transparency — 5.8 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated for 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and the issuance record reports total verified reductions equal to the claimed amount.

- The project lacks a stated usage monitoring method, and the emissions reduction figure is contradicted by an earlier document.

The monitoring period is clearly identified as 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and the issuance record shows 144,048 tCO2e claimed and 144,048 tCO2e verified. However, the record does not state the usage monitoring method, and the extraction set includes a contradiction with an earlier verified total of 52,079 tCO2e. That inconsistency reduces confidence in the public-facing MRV trail even though the latest issuance document is more authoritative.

Claim Safety — 6.1 / 10

+ The project is an avoidance project under ACM0002 with a project baseline and no CORSIA eligibility, which reduces some dual-claim risk.

- The verified emissions reduction total is inconsistent across documents, and leakage justification is qualitative rather than quantified.

Claim safety is helped by the project being a renewable electricity avoidance activity under a recognized methodology, with no CORSIA eligibility and no CCP status stated. Still, the emissions reduction figure is inconsistent across documents, and the leakage treatment is qualitative rather than a quantified deduction. Because the later issuance record is the most specific and authoritative for issued credits, it is privileged over the earlier figure, but the contradiction still lowers confidence.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

+ Seventeen documents were used, the VVB is named, and the issuance record is recent.

- Extraction confidence is only medium, and several safeguard-related items were contradicted by earlier records.

Documentation is reasonably broad, with 17 documents used, a named VVB, and a recent issuance date of 2023-10-30. The extraction confidence is only medium, which suggests at least one key document was not fully legible, and several items such as buffer pool, baseline reassessment, and usage monitoring method are not stated in the extracted record. Earlier documents also conflict with the later issuance record on safeguards and verified reductions, which weakens documentation reliability.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	Avoidance project with no reversal events reported
Leakage	Negligible leakage stated, but not quantified
Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment timing missing
Safeguards	Safeguards present, but earlier records conflict
Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible and no CCP approval stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a reconciled emissions reduction table explaining why the earlier 52,079 tCO2e figure differs from the later 144,048 tCO2e issuance value.

→ Add explicit documentation for leakage treatment, baseline reassessment timing, buffer pool treatment, and the monitoring method for electricity usage or generation.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance-Representation-Multiple-PPs-v4.2-1.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.1 (2).pdf
- I2_PRR_1985_22Jul2021.pdf
- VCS-Joint-Project-Description-Monitoring-Report-Template-v4.0_1985_Greenko 100 MW.pdf
- MR_1985_V3.0_1RTRComments-clean.pdf
- VCS-MR Version 2.0_Clean_new.pdf
- Letter for transfer of VCS project_Infinite Environmental Solutions LLP.pdf
- VCS-Listing-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.0_100 MW.pdf
- Communication Agreement-VCS1985.pdf
- 100 MW Annanthpur.kml
- Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report-Guttaseema_updated02.pdf
- RI1_PRR_1985_25JUN2020.pdf
- VCS-Joint-Project-Description-Monitoring-Report_100 MW.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.pdf
- FVR_VKU.VER.112.23_VCS_1985-clean.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2-VKU.VER.VCS_1985_signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-GuttaseemaClean.pdf
- VCS-Joint-Validation-Verification-Template-v4.0 (1).pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-63AFEBD6 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy