

QUALITY REPORT

40MW Bundled Solar Project in Telangana, India

VCS-1990 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-BC52B054 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS solar project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported material findings, which supports moderate integrity. However, key documentation gaps, low extraction confidence, and unresolved contradictions around verified issuance, leakage treatment, and crediting period reduce confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1990
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 19.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2027
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	154,169 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage treatment is not addressed in the later verification record, while an earlier document treated it as not applicable.
- Verified emission reductions differ materially across documents, and the crediting period also changes between records.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, and the verification report reports no material findings.
- Leakage is not addressed in the later verification record, and there is no buffer pool information or reversal history in the extracted record.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and names VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd. as the VVB, which is a meaningful positive signal. The project is a renewable-energy solar activity under ACM0002, but permanence-related evidence is thin because no buffer pool percentage, reversal history, or explicit leakage deduction is available in the extracted record. The absence of material findings helps, but the missing leakage treatment and other gaps keep the score mid-range.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The monitoring period and VVB name are stated, and the verification report provides claimed and verified emission reduction figures.
- The monitoring report has missing meter calibration details and the project status explanation was requested as a corrective action, with low extraction confidence across the record.

The record includes a monitoring period from 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, the VVB name, and both claimed and verified emission reduction totals, which improves traceability. At the same time, the monitoring report is flagged for missing meter calibration details and an incomplete explanation of current project status. Low extraction confidence further weakens documentation transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.3 / 10

- + The project uses ACM0002 with a grid emission factor stated for the monitoring period, which supports a standard renewable-energy baseline approach.
- The later verification record says leakage is not addressed, and the verified emission reduction figure differs sharply from the earlier document, raising over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weakened by the large gap between claimed and verified emission reductions and by the fact that the later verification record says leakage is not addressed, while an earlier document treated it as not applicable. The baseline method is stated as project-based rather than a more conservative standardized or jurisdictional approach, and no usage monitoring evidence is provided. Because CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, dual-market claim risk cannot be ruled out.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

- + Multiple official documents were used, including a verification report with a named VVB and a defined monitoring period.
- Extraction confidence is low, and the report lists missing meter calibration details plus an incomplete project status explanation.

The project has a substantial document set and a named verifier, which supports basic documentation quality. However, the extracted record has low confidence, only one evidence document is explicitly identified, and the verification report itself requests corrective actions for missing meter calibration details and project-status explanation. The crediting period also differs across documents, which reduces confidence in the completeness and consistency of the file.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal evidence, but buffer not stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed in later record
● Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment not found
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the missing meter calibration evidence, project-status explanation, and a complete monitoring annex with traceable calculations.

→ Resolve the contradictions on verified emission reductions, leakage treatment, and crediting period with a clear document hierarchy and reconciled figures.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.0.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Multiple-PPs-v4.2-1.pdf
- Issuance deed vcs 1990.pdf
- VCS-MR Grinbrit 1990 V3.0_Clean.pdf
- VCS-MR Grinbhrir 40 MW.pdf
- PD MR Ver.02-clean.pdf
- Letter for transfer of VCS project_Infinite Environmental Solutions LLP.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.0 (1).pdf
- VCS-Listing-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.0_40 MW (3).pdf
- Cmmunication Agreement - VCS1990.pdf
- Bundled Solar (1).kml
- PD & MR Webhosting-Listing-V.1.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-Grinibhrir.pdf
- FVR_VKU.VER.111.23_VCS_1990_Clean copy.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_VKU.VER.111.23_VCS_1990_V1.1_signed.pdf
- 13319_VCS Validation Representation, v3.3.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.pdf
- 13319_VCS Verification Representation, v3.3.pdf
- FVR-13319.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-BC52B054 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy