

QUALITY REPORT

Bundled Wind Power project in Koppal Karnataka

VCS-1993 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-6968810D · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
■ Transparency (25%)	5.0
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.4
■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a recent verification report with no material findings. However, the record also shows multiple data mismatches, an unaddressed leakage treatment, and no buffer pool information, which weakens confidence in the credited volume and project controls.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-1993
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 19
Crediting Period	2018 — 2028
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	515,379 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification report lists several generation data mismatches and a monitoring-period start-date inconsistency, indicating reliability issues in the reported emissions reduction data.
- Leakage is not addressed in the extracted record, and no buffer pool or reversal protection details were found.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core eligibility case.
- Leakage is marked as not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage or reversal protection details were found in the available documents.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and states no material findings, which is a meaningful positive for project integrity. At the same time, the report requires corrective actions for multiple generation-data mismatches and a monitoring-period start-date inconsistency, so the emissions claim is not fully clean. Leakage is not addressed in the extracted record, and no buffer pool or reversal-event protection is documented, which further weakens the permanence and conservativeness assessment.

Transparency — 5.0 / 10

- + The verification report identifies the VVB, the monitoring period from 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, and the verified emissions reductions.
- The record contains multiple corrective actions for meter and invoice mismatches, and extraction confidence is low, which reduces trust in the reporting trail.

The documentation identifies the VVB as VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd. and gives a clear monitoring period from 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31, with claimed and verified reductions both reported at 515,379. However, the record also shows several corrective actions tied to meter, invoice, and generation-value mismatches, which reduces confidence in the MRV trail. Because extraction confidence is low, the transparency score is held down further.

Claim Safety — 5.4 / 10

- + The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers dual-market claim risk.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly standardized or recently reassessed jurisdictional baseline, and leakage treatment is unclear.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that the project is not CORSIA-eligible, reducing one channel of market-claim overlap. Still, the baseline is project-based under ACM0002 rather than a clearly jurisdictional or recently reassessed baseline, and leakage is not addressed. The identical claimed and verified totals are positive on their face, but the data-quality issues and contradictions mean over-crediting risk cannot be dismissed.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

- + Eighteen documents were used, and the verification report is dated 2024-01-10, so the evidence set is reasonably broad and recent.
- Extraction confidence is low, and the report itself notes inconsistencies between the monitoring report and ER sheet, which weakens documentation reliability.

The evidence base is fairly broad, with 18 documents used and a recent verification report dated 2024-01-10. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, and the report itself flags inconsistencies between the monitoring report and ER sheet. The crediting period is long and ongoing, but the available record does not provide complete support for leakage, buffer protection, or baseline reassessment timing.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal detail found
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards present but inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete, reconciled emissions-reduction audit trail that resolves the meter, invoice, and ER-sheet mismatches and explains the monitoring-period discrepancy.

→ Document leakage treatment, buffer or reversal protection, and a clear baseline reassessment history with supporting calculations.

Documents Reviewed

- I3_PRR_30July2021.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.1.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Multiple-PPs-v4.2-1.pdf
- VCS PD Bundled 134.4.Ver.03 21.05.2020.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report_Skeiron VERRA_new.PDF
- MR 1993 V5.0_Clean.pdf
- RI1_PRR_1993_22MAY2020.pdf
- Bundled project in Koppal.kml
- VCS-Listing-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.0.pdf
- SDG-Contributions-Report final - 2.pdf
- PRR_3rd verification_1993_closed.pdf
- Communication Agreement VCS 1993.pdf
- VCS PD Bundled 134.4.-Listing-V.1.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_VKU.VER.113.23_VCS_1993_signed.pdf
- blank.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report-Skeiron_Final.pdf
- FVR-13419.pdf
- FVR_VKU.VER.113.23_VCS_1993_Clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-6968810D · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy