

QUALITY REPORT

Renewable Solar Power Project By Rishabh Renergy

VCS-2023 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-7BC388A6 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.1
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS solar project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversal events, which supports a moderate integrity profile. However, the monitoring report contains several documentation gaps and inconsistencies, and key safeguards and leakage details are not well evidenced, which weakens confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2023
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	AMS-I.D 18.0
Crediting Period	2018 — 2028
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Verified ERs	24,224 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2019 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	12 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The monitoring report lists multiple corrective actions, including missing grievance register, incomplete section 2.2, inconsistent baseline and generation values, and missing metering calibration details.
- Safeguards are weakly documented: FPIC, grievance mechanism, benefit sharing, and broader safeguards are not evidenced in the extracted record, and several are contradicted by later reporting.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.1 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which supports the project's core eligibility case.

- Leakage is not addressed, and no leakage deduction is stated in the monitoring report; buffer pool coverage is also not found.

The verification report support is indirect in the extracted record, but the VVB is named and additionality was confirmed, which is a positive sign. At the same time, leakage is marked as not addressed, buffer pool coverage is not found, and no reversal-related evidence is available, so permanence and leakage robustness are only moderate. The monitoring report also lists several corrective actions, including inconsistent baseline and generation values, which reduces confidence in the integrity of the credited reductions.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The monitoring period, claimed emissions reductions, and verified emissions reductions are stated, and the VVB is named in the monitoring report.

- The report records several inconsistencies and missing items, including web links, page content, date format, baseline emissions, net electricity generation, and metering calibration evidence.

The monitoring report provides the monitoring window and shows claimed and verified reductions both at 24,224, which is helpful for traceability. However, the same report flags inconsistencies in web links, content pages, date formatting, baseline emissions, net electricity generation, and missing metering calibration certificates. With no usage monitoring method stated and no clear public registry completeness indicators in the extracted record, transparency is limited.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ The project is a renewable solar installation under a standard grid-connected methodology, which generally lowers over-crediting risk compared with more complex project types.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly reassessed standardized baseline, and leakage treatment is not documented, increasing claim uncertainty.

This is a solar renewable energy project under AMS-I.D, so the underlying claim is relatively straightforward compared with land-use projects. Even so, the baseline is project-specific, leakage is not addressed, and the extracted record does not show a quantified usage or leakage treatment, which leaves room for over-crediting concerns. No CCP status or CORSIA eligibility is stated, so dual-market claim risk cannot be ruled out from the available evidence.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

+ Eleven documents were used and the extraction confidence is medium, so the record is not based on a single weak source.

- The monitoring report itself required corrective actions and the extracted record shows missing or contradictory information on safeguards and the crediting period.

The record draws on 11 documents, but the extraction confidence is only medium, and one or more source documents were not fully readable. The monitoring report dated 2022-04-14 includes multiple corrective actions, and the extracted record lacks several key items such as a buffer pool percentage, baseline reassessment date, and usage monitoring details. The contradictions on FPIC, safeguards, benefit sharing, grievance mechanism, and crediting period further weaken documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal evidence, but buffer not stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete leakage assessment, including any quantified deduction or a clear justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Publish a clean, reconciled monitoring package with metering calibration evidence, grievance and safeguard documentation, and a resolved explanation of the reported contradictions.

Documents Reviewed

- issuance_representation.pdf
- Monitoring report -Version 02B (1).pdf
- VCS Joint PD MR_V02_21.12.2019.pdf
- KML_Energising Indian homes by solar rooftop projects.kml
- VCS-Listing-Representation.pdf
- Communication Agreement_Rishabh Renergy-converted.pdf
- 2023 SDG Report -01072021.pdf
- V2_2023 SDG Report -2022.pdf
- Draft PD MR 2.pdf
- FVR_Rishabh renergy -VCS 2023_TQC 26021.pdf
- VCS.VAL19.50_VVR.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-7BC388A6 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy