

QUALITY REPORT

# Renewable Wind Power Project by Adani

VCS-2042 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-880E4351 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

<b>4.4</b> Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	<b>4.8</b>
	■ Transparency (25%)	<b>4.2</b>
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>4.5</b>
	■ Documentation (15%)	<b>3.6</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some positive signs, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a registered VCS status, but the evidence base is thin and several key safeguards are not well documented. The latest verification report also shows unresolved or weakly addressed issues around leakage, reversal risk, and report completeness, which lowers confidence in the claimed credits.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2042
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2023
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 19.0
Crediting Period	2019 — 2029
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	652,424 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	17 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

## Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the latest verification record, while an earlier document reportedly treated it as negligible.
- The verification report lists multiple corrective action requests and clarification requests, including missing stakeholder consultation detail and undefined terminology.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the verification report.

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the latest verification record, and reversal risk is also not addressed.

The verification report from VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd. confirms additionality through an investment test, which supports the project's core integrity case. However, the latest record says leakage is not addressed and reversal risk is not addressed, and the buffer pool percentage is not found in the extracted documents. The report also lists multiple corrective action requests and clarification requests, indicating some unresolved quality issues in the monitoring package.

### Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period in the verification report.

- The extracted record does not provide claimed emissions reductions for comparison, and several MRV fields such as usage monitoring and grid factors are not stated.

Transparency is weakened by missing or unstated MRV details, including the usage monitoring method, grid emission factor, and claimed emissions reductions. The monitoring period is stated and the VVB is named, which helps, but the extracted record does not provide a complete public-facing accounting trail. Because extraction confidence is low, confidence in the completeness of the documentation is further reduced.

### Claim Safety — 4.5 / 10

+ The project is an avoidance project under VCS with a verified monitoring result of 652,424 tCO<sub>2</sub>e.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than a recently reassessed standardized baseline, and leakage treatment is weakly documented.

Claim safety is moderate at best because the project uses a project-specific baseline and the latest verification record does not address leakage. The verified quantity of 652,424 tCO<sub>2</sub>e provides a concrete figure, but the record does not show the claimed amount for reconciliation, and the absence of a clear leakage deduction increases over-crediting risk. No evidence of CORSIA eligibility or CCP approval is provided, so dual-claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

### Documentation — 3.6 / 10

+ The record includes a recent verification report dated 2023-10-28 and multiple official documents were used.

- Extraction confidence is low, and the verification report still lists several corrective actions and clarification requests.

The documentation set is reasonably broad, with 17 documents used and a recent verification report dated 2023-10-28. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, and the report still contains several corrective action requests and a clarification request about missing stakeholder consultation detail and undefined terminology. The crediting period is long and the monitoring period is recent, but the record remains incomplete on several key fields.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	reversal risk not addressed
● <b>Leakage</b>	leakage not addressed
● <b>Baseline</b>	project-specific baseline
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a complete reconciliation of claimed versus verified emissions reductions, including leakage treatment, baseline calculations, and any buffer or reversal provisions.

→ Provide a cleaner, fully consistent monitoring and verification package that resolves the listed CARs/CLs and clearly defines all project terminology and stakeholder consultation steps.

## Documents Reviewed

- 2042\_VCS Project Review Report\_v2.0.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation VCS 2042 (1).pdf
- Issuance Representation\_2042.pdf
- Issuance deed VCS 2042.pdf
- VCS-2042\_MR\_V02\_09.12.2022.pdf
- VCS-MR\_Adani-2042\_Clean Copy.pdf
- 231027\_VCS-MR-2042\_V03\_Clean.pdf
- Verra-SDG-Contributions-Report-2042\_Adani\_29.06.2019 to 31.08.2021.pdf
- VCS-Listing-Representation\_Wind.pdf
- Joint PDD - MR adani wind 100 MW.pdf
- TEST DOC.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- FVR\_VCS\_VER\_VCS ID 2042\_15.11.2021.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2\_VKU.VER.132.23\_VCS\_2042\_signed.pdf
- FVR\_\_Adani-VCS\_2042\_TQC\_48122.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-Adani ID 2042.pdf
- 231027 Verification Report\_VKU.VER.132.23\_Clean.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-880E4351 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)