QUALITY REPORT

Guizhou Wenjiaba CMM Power Generation Project

VCS-2383 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-023EB8C6 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.2 Overall Score out of 10		
	Integrity (35%)	6.1
	Transparency (25%)	5.0
	Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS methane project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no material findings in the verification report, which supports moderate integrity. However, key evidence gaps remain around leakage treatment, baseline reassessment, and public claim safety, and the record contains several contradictions that reduce confidence.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2383
Sector	methane
Country	China
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0008 08.0
Crediting Period	2020 — 2030
VVB	Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd
Verified ERs	60,032 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	16 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- ■ Leakage is marked as not addressed in the verification report, while an earlier document reportedly quantified it, creating a reliability issue.
- ■ The verified emission total differs across documents, and the lower-quality extraction confidence means some documentation may be incomplete or unreadable.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB, Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd, verified it.

- Leakage is not addressed in the verification report, and no leakage deduction percentage is stated; baseline reassessment is also not found.

The verification report dated 2022-09-22 confirms additionality through an investment test and says additionality was verified by Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd. No material findings or corrective actions were reported, which is positive, but leakage is not addressed and no leakage deduction is stated. Baseline method is described as project-based, yet baseline reassessment timing is not found in the available documents.

Transparency — 5.0 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period from 2021-08-26 to 2022-05-25, with 60,032 verified ERs reported in the verification report.

- The extracted record shows low extraction confidence, and the claimed-versus-verified issuance comparison cannot be checked because claimed ERs are not stated.

The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period from 2021-08-26 to 2022-05-25, and the verification report reports 60,032 verified ERs. However, the extracted record has low extraction confidence, and the comparison between claimed and verified ERs cannot be assessed because claimed ERs are not stated. Public-facing completeness is therefore only moderate.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ The project is a methane capture project under ACM0008, which generally supports a clearer emissions-reduction logic than many land-use projects.

- Leakage justification is not addressed, and CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, leaving residual double-claim and over-crediting uncertainty.

The project type is methane capture under ACM0008, which supports a plausible emissions-reduction case, but the leakage treatment is weak because the verification report says leakage is not addressed. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so dual-market claim risk cannot be ruled out. The contradiction on leakage, where an earlier document reportedly quantified it but the later verification report says it is not addressed, further weakens claim safety.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

+ The record includes a verification report dated 2022-09-22, a monitoring period, a crediting period, and no material findings or corrective actions required.

- The extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is clearly identified, and several important fields are missing or not stated.

The file set includes a verification report with a date, monitoring period, crediting period, and VVB identity, and it reports no material findings or corrective actions. Still, the extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is clearly identified, and several key fields such as baseline reassessment, leakage deduction, and usage monitoring are missing. That leaves the documentation package incomplete for a high-confidence assessment.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	Avoidance/capture project with no reversal events reported
Leakage	Leakage not addressed
Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment not found
Safeguards	Safeguards present but contradicted in earlier document
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear leakage assessment and, if applicable, a quantified leakage deduction with supporting rationale.

→ Provide a complete, readable document set showing baseline reassessment, claimed-versus-verified issuance, and explicit CORSIA/CCP status.

Documents Reviewed

- 06-Wenjiaba_VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1.pdf
- 12-Wenjiaba_VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1.pdf
- 01-Wenjiaba_VCS Joint PD&MR;_20200924-20210825.pdf
- 10-Wenjiaba_VCS MR_20210826-20220525.pdf
- 05-Wenjiaba_VCS-Registration-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1.pdf
- SDC_REP_2383_24SEPT2020_25AUG_2021.pdf
- 03-Wenjiaba_Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-single-PP.pdf
- 10-Wenjiaba_VCS ER_20210826-20220525.XLSX
- 02-Wenjiaba_VCS-Listing-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1.pdf
- SDC_EVIDENCE_2383_24SEPT2020_25AUG_2021.pdf
- 01-Wenjiaba_VCS PD_V01.pdf
- 08-Wenjiaba_VCS Verification Representation.pdf
- 11-Wenjiaba_VCS FVeR_20210826-20220525.pdf
- 04-Wenjiaba_VCS Joint FVaR&FVeR;_20200924-20210825.pdf
- 07-Wenjiaba_VCS Validation Representation.pdf
- 13-Wenjiaba_VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-023EB8C6 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy