QUALITY REPORT

Mahogany Plantation in India

VCS-2479 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-5400B02C · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.9 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	6.6
	Transparency (25%)	5.4
	Claim Safety (25%)	5.8
	Documentation (15%)	5.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has some integrity strengths: additionality was confirmed by the VVB, a buffer pool of 12% is in place, and no material findings were reported. However, the record still has meaningful evidence gaps around leakage treatment details, reversal handling, and crediting-period consistency, which limits confidence in the claim quality. The project looks moderately documented, but not strong enough to be considered low-risk.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2479
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AR-ACM0003 2.0
Crediting Period	2019 — 2049
VVB	Carbon Check
Monitoring Period	2019 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	13 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The record contains a crediting-period contradiction between two documents, which raises reliability concerns about the project timeline.
- Leakage is described as quantified in one document, but the actual deduction percentage and supporting details are not stated in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.6 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project used a barrier test, which supports a real additionality case.

- Reversal risk is not fully resolved in the extracted record because reversal events are marked as not addressed, even though a 12% buffer pool exists.

The verification record from Carbon Check confirms additionality through a barrier test, which is a meaningful positive for project integrity. A 12% buffer pool also supports permanence management, and no material findings or corrective actions were reported. That said, reversal events are marked as not addressed, so permanence is not fully closed out in the extracted record.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The issuance record identifies the VVB as Carbon Check and provides a clear monitoring period from 2019-06-28 to 2022-10-13.

- Key MRV details are missing, including the verified and claimed ER totals, leakage deduction percentage, and usage monitoring method.

The issuance document provides the registry, VVB name, and monitoring period, which helps traceability. However, the extracted record does not state the claimed or verified emission reductions, the leakage deduction percentage, or the usage monitoring method. Those omissions limit the transparency of the MRV trail.

Claim Safety — 5.8 / 10

+ The project uses a project baseline and the VVB confirmed additionality, which reduces some over-crediting risk.

- Leakage treatment is not fully visible in the extracted record, and the project is not shown to be CCP-approved or CORSIA-eligible, leaving claim-safety uncertainty.

Claim safety is helped by the VVB-confirmed additionality and the use of a project baseline, which is better than an unstated or purely default baseline. Still, the record does not provide the actual leakage deduction, and the project is not shown to be CCP-approved or CORSIA-eligible. The contradiction on leakage justification means the evidence is not fully stable across documents.

Documentation — 5.2 / 10

+ Eleven documents were used, the extraction confidence is medium, and the issuance record is relatively recent.

- The extracted record still lacks several core values, including baseline reassessment timing, verified usage rates, and ERR totals.

Documentation is moderate because eleven documents were used and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low. The issuance record is fairly recent, but several important fields remain unstated, including baseline reassessment timing, verified usage rates, and ERR totals. The absence of corrective actions is positive, but the record is still incomplete for a high-confidence assessment.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed barrier test
Permanence	Buffer pool present, reversal handling unclear
Leakage	Leakage said to be quantified, but deduction not stated
Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing missing
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the full leakage calculation, including the deduction percentage and supporting assumptions, alongside verified and claimed ER totals.

→ Clarify the crediting-period discrepancy and document how reversal risk is monitored and addressed over the full crediting term.

Documents Reviewed

- vcs-issuance-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final.pdf
- VCS_RV_PRR_2479_20NOV2023.pdf
- 3. Joint PD MR Version 8.0_Clean.pdf
- vcs-registration-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final.pdf
- Communnication Agreement.pdf
- Communication Agreement_MVAPL_MITCON.pdf
- 1. 2479_Final Farmer list_1st PAI Final.kml
- Mahogani Vishwa Agro Pvt Ltd.kml
- VCS Listing Representaion.pdf
- MVAPL_Draft_PD_Version_2.1.pdf
- CCIPL 1173 VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- CCIPL 1173VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- CCIPL 1173 Final_Validation_and_Verification_Report v6.0 Clean.pdf

Report ID: CM-5400B02C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy