

QUALITY REPORT

Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project

VCS-2502 · VCS · Peru

Report ID: CM-8ADE7DBC · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.6 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.0
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.4
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered REDD avoidance project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, but the file shows several material documentation gaps and a reported reversal-related deforestation event that was judged not to be a reversal. Overall confidence is limited by low extraction quality, multiple corrective actions, and contradictions across documents on key items such as leakage treatment, FPIC, and the crediting period.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2502
Sector	redd
Country	Peru
Vintage	2017, 2018, 2019
Project Methodology	VM0006. Methodology for Carbon Accounting for Mosaic and Landscape Scale REDD Projects v 2.2 and VM0015 Methodology for Avoided Unplanned Deforestation v.1.1 v 2.2 and v.1.1
Crediting Period	2017 — 2047
VVB	AENOR CONFÍA S.A.U.
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	34 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification report lists many material findings and multiple corrective actions, including concerns about project longevity, baseline reassessment, armed patrol disclosures, and biodiversity impacts.
- A deforestation event was reported in the monitoring results, and the report explains why it was not treated as a reversal, which still raises permanence risk.
- Several key fields are missing or not stated in available documents, including total ERR figures, usage monitoring details, and FNRB values.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.0 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core claim.
- The verification report records a reported deforestation event and multiple corrective actions tied to longevity, baseline reassessment, and permanence risk.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB accepted the project's approach, which is a meaningful positive. However, the same report records a deforestation event in the monitoring results and explains it was not counted as a reversal because it did not exceed the stated threshold, while also flagging concerns about project longevity, baseline reassessment, and permanence-related risk scoring. These issues keep integrity in the middle range rather than strong.

Transparency — 4.4 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period for 2022, and a recent verification report dated 2025-10-17.
- Key reporting elements are not stated in available documents, including total ERR claimed and verified, usage monitoring method, and FNRB value.

Transparency is mixed: the project has a named verifier, a clear 2022 monitoring period, and a recent verification report, which supports traceability. But several core MRV fields are not stated in available documents, including total ERR claimed and verified, the usage monitoring method, and the FNRB value. The low extraction confidence also reduces trust in completeness.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

- + The project is marked CCP approved and the record shows a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, which helps reduce over-crediting risk.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than jurisdictional, and contradictions show earlier leakage treatment and FPIC status differed across documents.

Claim safety is helped by CCP approval, VVB-confirmed additionality, and a quantified leakage deduction of 0%. Still, the baseline is project-specific rather than a standardized jurisdictional baseline, and the record contains contradictions on leakage treatment, FPIC, and the crediting period. Those inconsistencies increase the risk of over-crediting or weak claim substantiation.

Documentation — 3.6 / 10

- + The record draws on many extracted facts and includes a long list of official findings and corrective actions from the verification report.
- Extraction confidence is low, evidence documents are not clearly identified, and several important fields are missing or only partially specified.

Documentation quality is weakened by low extraction confidence and the fact that the evidence documents list is not clearly populated beyond an unknown entry. The verification report contains many material findings and six corrective actions plus clarifications, even though they were later closed, which indicates the documentation package needed substantial cleanup. The crediting period is stated, but several other key items remain missing or not stated in available documents.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Buffer pool present, but reversal-related event reported
● Leakage	Quantified leakage deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline with reassessment timing noted
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present, but safeguards gaps re
● Double-claim	CCP approved and CORSIA eligible

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish a complete, internally consistent MRV package with clearly stated total ERR claimed and verified, usage monitoring details, and FNRB assumptions.
- Resolve the remaining verification findings by documenting permanence safeguards, armed patrol and stakeholder-risk handling, and a clearer baseline reassessment rationale.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance representation Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project.pdf
- VCS_V3_PRR_2502_17Oct2025.pdf
- Issuance representation TAH Project period 2022.pdf
- B.0.2 CCB amp; VCS Project Review Report 1408_ Final.pdf
- CCB VCS MR Project2502 01012022-31122022 - Draft Monitoring Report Local Language Summary (1).pdf
- Monitoring Report VCS-CCB_Tahuamanu_2017-2019_v5.pdf
- Resumen Ejecutivo Reporte Monitoreo Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project.pdf
- CCB VCS MR Project2502 01012020-3112202120092024.pdf
- Resumen Ejecutivo_Reporte de Monitoreo 2017-2019_Tahuamanu REDD Project.pdf
- 2502__CCB VCS MR Project2502 01012022-31122022 (draft).pdf
- CCB_VCS_Monitoring_Report_Template_CCBv3.0-_VCSv3.4 28042021.pdf
- VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report-Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project.pdf
- PA_FOREST_TAH.kml
- VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report-v4.0 Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project.pdf
- Resumen Ejecutivo PD Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project.pdf
- Leakage Belt_KML.kml
- Appendix 2. Estimation of Scarcity Factor.pdf
- Appendix 3. Monitoring_report_Net_carbon_y_VCUB4s_Tahuamanu_2020_2021_v2.xlsx
- VCS_CCB_CP1V2_PRR_2502_01JAN2020_31DEC2021.pdf
- Project Area_KML.kml
- project_area.kml
- Appendix 7. Modeling and location of unplanned deforestation.pdf
- Reference Region_KML.kml
- VALID_PRR_2502_21 AUG 2023.pdf
- VCS-Listing-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1 uv signed.pdf
- CCB_VCS_Project_Description_CCBv3.0_VCSv3.3 28042021.pdf
- Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project_VCS_CCB_v6.pdf
- CCB_VCS_Validation_Report_31072023_V5.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_TAHUAMANU_SIGNED.pdf
- Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project_Standard settings07102024CLEAN.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2.pdf
- CCB VCS MR Project2502 01012022-31122022.pdf
- CCB_VCS_Verification_Report_31072023_V5.pdf
- Tahuamanu Amazon REDD Project_Standard settings20092024clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-8ADE7DBC · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy