

## QUALITY REPORT

# Afforestation of Degraded Grasslands in Vichada, Colombia

VCS-2512 · VCS · Colombia

Report ID: CM-DEEA5DA8 · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

**4.2**Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	<b>4.0</b>
■ Transparency (25%)	<b>5.0</b>
■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>3.5</b>
■ Documentation (15%)	<b>4.5</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project shows some positive integrity elements (VVB confirmation of additionality, 18% buffer) but is weakened by many corrective actions, unresolved reversal treatment, and internal data inconsistencies. Documentation and MRV are partial: a named VVB and monitoring period are present, but key ERR figures and some monitoring methods are not provided. CORSIA eligibility and reported inconsistencies raise claim-risk and over-crediting concerns.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2512
Sector	arr
Country	Colombia
Vintage	2022
Project Methodology	AR-ACM0003 2.014
Crediting Period	2016 — 2046
VVB	TÜV SÜD America, Inc
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	36 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

## Red Flags

- 18 Corrective Action Requests and numerous findings in the verification record
- Contradictory statements on leakage, additionality test type, and reversal events; net GHG ERRs not consistently reported

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 4.0 / 10

+ VVB confirmation of additionality by TÜV SÜD America, Inc (verification report, 2025-02-05)

- numerous material findings and 18 CARs plus reversal events described as not addressed (verification report, 2025-02-05)

The verification report (2025-02-05) confirms additionality (combined test) and the project holds an 18% buffer pool contribution, which are positive for permanence and additionality. However, the verification record lists many material findings including 18 Corrective Action Requests and explicit requirements to correct ERR calculations and non-permanence monitoring; reversal events are flagged as not addressed in the latest extracted facts. The baseline is project-specific rather than jurisdictional which reduces robustness. These unresolved findings and the lack of clear reversal resolution materially depress integrity.

### Transparency — 5.0 / 10

+ monitoring period provided (2020-12-04 — 2022-11-08) and VVB named in the verification report

- key MRV outputs missing from extracted record: total ERR claimed/verified and detailed ERR calculation spreadsheet requested by the VVB

A named VVB (TÜV SÜD America, Inc) and a clear monitoring period are present in the verification report, and the baseline was reassessed in 2023, which supports transparency. Crucial outputs are missing in the extracted record: total ERR claimed and verified are not provided, the ex-post ERR calculation spreadsheet is explicitly requested by the VVB, and evidence document names are largely unknown. Min extraction confidence is medium, so some documents may have been poorly read.

### Claim Safety — 3.5 / 10

- project is CORSIA-eligible (extracted record) which increases dual-claim risk

~ inconsistent ERR reporting and a required ex-post ERR spreadsheet create over-crediting uncertainty (VVB requests)

The project is listed as CORSIA-eligible in the extracted facts, which raises dual-claim risk; CCP status is not stated. The verification report requires corrected and consistent net GHG ERR calculations for the monitoring period (2020–2022), indicating potential over-crediting risk until those ERRs are verified and reconciled. The lack of published ERR figures and the VVB's CARs increase claim uncertainty.

### Documentation — 4.5 / 10

+ 32 documents used and recent reassessment of baseline/non-permanence in 2023 mentioned

- evidence source names are largely unknown in the extract and extraction confidence is medium; many CARs indicate outstanding data corrections required

Documentation breadth is reasonable (32 documents used) and the verification report is recent (2025-02-05). Nonetheless, evidence\_docs entries are 'unknown', min\_extraction\_confidence is medium, and many corrective actions are outstanding — the VVB required multiple monitoring report updates and data items (KML, ERR spreadsheets, LTA calculations). These gaps and the medium extraction confidence reduce the documentation score.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB■confirmed
● <b>Permanence</b>	buffer present but reversals unresolved
● <b>Leakage</b>	quantified but deduction 0% / inconsistent
● <b>Baseline</b>	project baseline (reassessed 2023)
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC & grievance present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA-eligible — dual risk

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish and reconcile the ex-post ERR calculation spreadsheet (with formulas and data sources) and release total ERR claimed and verified for the monitoring period.

→ Resolve all outstanding Corrective Action Requests, explicitly document how reversal risks are being monitored/managed, and provide signed evidence for updates (KML, LTA calculations, and clarified leakage justification).

## Documents Reviewed

- 5\_IssuanceRepresentation.pdf
- CCB\_VCS\_V2\_PRR\_Project 2512\_04 DEC 2020\_08 NOV 2022.pdf
- 8\_IssuanceRepresentation\_V2.pdf
- 220301\_CCB\_VCS\_MR\_ForestFirst\_V5\_clean.pdf
- 2\_Draft\_Monitoring\_Report.pdf
- 20230508\_MR\_Resumen FFC\_VCS\_CCB\_2nd (1).pdf
- 4\_Draft\_Monitoring\_Report\_Summary.pdf
- 20230523\_CCB\_VCS\_MR\_FFC\_2nd verification (1).pdf
- 11\_MonitoringReport\_SpanishSummary.pdf
- 20241118\_CCB\_VCS\_MR\_FFC\_2nd verification\_v6\_Clean.pdf
- 6\_Agency\_Communications\_Agreement.pdf
- 3\_Draft\_Project\_Description\_Summary.pdf
- 9\_ProjectDescription\_SpanishSummary.pdf
- 20240314\_ExpostER\_AR\_ForestFirst\_v2\_2ndVer.xlsx
- 211007\_ExpostER\_AR\_ForestFirst (1).xlsx
- Extension Request\_2512.pdf
- 9\_CommunicationAgreement.pdf
- 20231017\_Forest\_First\_VCS\_CCB\_PA\_Eligible\_First\_Second\_Insta.kml
- VCS\_NPR\_REP\_ pdf.pdf
- 4\_RegistrationRepresentation\_V2.pdf
- 201105\_VCS-NPRT\_ForestFirst\_Non\_Community\_Influence (1).pdf
- OTHER\_V1\_2512\_PRR\_19MAY2022.pdf
- 7\_Project\_zone.kml
- 210927\_VCS-NPRT\_ForestFirst\_Under\_Community\_Influence (1) (1).pdf
- 220301\_VCS\_CCB\_PD\_ForestFirst\_V5\_clean (4).pdf
- 1\_Draft\_Project\_Description.pdf
- FFC\_VCS Listing Representation March 2021.pdf
- CCB\_VCS\_Verification\_Report\_Vichada\_CCBv3.0\_VCSv3.4 10052022 .pdf
- 4\_Verification-Representation FFC2V-v4.2 (1).pdf
- CCB\_VCS\_Verification\_Report-FFCv.1.5-clean.pdf
- VCSVerificationRepresentationVICHADA10052022.pdf
- VCSValidationRepresentationv4.1Vichada10052022.pdf
- CCBVerification Statement Vichada10052022.pdf
- CCB\_VCS\_Validation\_Report\_VICHADA\_CCBv3.0\_VCSv3.4 10052022.pdf
- 6\_240322\_Verification\_Statement\_FFC.pdf
- Validation\_Statement\_Template\_CCBv3.0 Vichada 10052022.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-DEEA5DA8 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)