

QUALITY REPORT

REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE IN
RICE-WHEAT-MAIZE SYSTEM FOR INCOME
GENERATION

VCS-2590 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-8DF76E47 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.9

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
■ Transparency (25%)	3.8
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
■ Documentation (15%)	3.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, FPIC, and a grievance mechanism, but key risk controls are weak or missing in the extracted record. Leakage is not addressed, reversal handling is not stated, and the documentation quality is limited by low extraction confidence and unresolved contradictions.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2590
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	VM0042 1.0
Crediting Period	2019 — 2039
VVB	Earthood Services Limited
Monitoring Period	2019 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	15 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the extracted validation record, and no leakage deduction is stated.
- The record shows a contradiction on the crediting period, with a later document extending it beyond the earlier version.
- No buffer pool percentage, reversal treatment, or verified issuance figures were found in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using a barrier test in the validation report from Earthood Services Limited.
- Leakage is not addressed, reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is stated in the available documents.

The validation report from Earthood Services Limited confirms additionality through a barrier test, which supports the project's core crediting logic. However, leakage is not addressed, reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is stated, so permanence and leakage controls are weakly evidenced in the extracted record. The baseline is described as project-based, but no reassessment date is available, which limits confidence in baseline robustness.

Transparency — 3.8 / 10

- + The validation report identifies the VVB and provides a monitoring period from 2019-05-06 to 2022-05-31.
- Verified and claimed ERR totals are not stated, and the extraction confidence is low, which weakens confidence in the public record.

The extracted record identifies the VVB and gives a clear monitoring period, which helps traceability. At the same time, the record does not state verified or claimed ERR totals, usage monitoring details, or any quantified leakage treatment. Low extraction confidence further reduces transparency because at least one key source document was poorly readable.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + The project uses VM0042 under VCS, which is a recognized methodology framework for soil carbon projects.
- Leakage treatment is missing and the baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly standardized or recently reassessed baseline.

Claim safety is weakened by the absence of quantified leakage treatment and by the lack of verified issuance totals in the extracted record. The project uses VM0042 under VCS, but the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, and that increases over-crediting risk relative to stronger baseline approaches. No evidence of double registration or dual issuance is shown, but the absence of CCP and CORSIA status keeps channel-risk uncertainty elevated.

Documentation — 3.1 / 10

- + Fourteen documents were used, and the record includes FPIC, safeguards, benefit sharing, and a grievance mechanism.
- The extraction confidence is low, several key fields are not found in the available documents, and the evidence document list is not identified.

Documentation is only moderate because the record includes multiple governance and safeguard elements, including FPIC, benefit sharing, and a grievance mechanism. Still, the extraction confidence is low, the evidence document list is not identified, and several key fields are missing from the available documents. The crediting period is also inconsistent across documents, which further weakens documentation reliability.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed barrier test
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal treatment stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear leakage assessment with quantified deduction or a documented justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Provide a complete issuance and monitoring package with verified ERR totals, buffer or reversal treatment, and a reconciled crediting-period history.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance-Representation-v4.3_VCS 2590.pdf
- VCS_RV1_PRR_2590_06MAY2029_31MAY20222.pdf
- VCS2590_JPDMP_v7.0_20251111.pdf
- VCS 2590_Non-Permanence-Risk-Report-v1.4 (1).pdf
- 211026_Annex to Section 3.4.pdf
- VCS2590_ERR sheet.xlsx
- VCS2590_MVR_v2.3.pdf
- Registration-Representation-v4.3_VCS 2590.pdf
- 20210831_VCS-Listing-Representation_GI_India carbon program.pdf
- VCS2590_Project_Fields.kml
- VCS_RV_REQUEST_DENIED_2590_27MAR2024.pdf
- 20210831_GI_India carbon program PD_v3.0.pdf
- Validation-Representation-v4.2_2590.pdf
- VCS.VAL.23.16_FVR_v2.8_Grow_Indigo_2590_CL 2.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_2590.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-8DF76E47 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy