

QUALITY REPORT

Housing Decarbonisation in the United Kingdom

VCS-2649 · VCS · United Kingdom (UK)

Report ID: CM-4F9622AF · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

Project shows a verified emissions reduction (1,481 tCO₂e) and additionality confirmed by the VVB, but key integrity elements are incompletely documented or contradicted across sources. The monitoring report (2025) is recent and improves transparency, but unresolved contradictions on leakage, safeguards, FPIC and crediting period reduce confidence and raise over-crediting risk.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2649
Sector	industrial
Country	United Kingdom (UK)
Vintage	2023
Project Methodology	VM0008 1.1
Crediting Period	2022 — 2029
VVB	SustainCERT S.A
Verified ERs	1,481 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	20 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

Red Flags

- Latest monitoring report states leakage not addressed despite the PDD previously quantifying leakage
- Contradictory statements across validation (2023) and monitoring (2025) on safeguards, grievance mechanism, benefit sharing and FPIC

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ additionality confirmed by the VVB (SustainCERT S.A) in the monitoring report (2025)

- leakage not addressed in the monitoring report (2023 entry) and no buffer pool percentage stated; baseline reassessment not shown — weakens baseline and leakage confidence

Additionality is confirmed by the VVB in the monitoring report (SustainCERT S.A, monitoring report 2025). The project uses a project-specific baseline (VM0008 methodology, version 1.1), and there are no material findings or corrective actions reported in the monitoring report. However, leakage treatment is listed as not addressed in the monitoring record, while the PDD (2022) earlier indicated quantified leakage — this contradiction and the absence of any buffer pool percentage or baseline reassessment reduces confidence in baseline/leakage robustness and permanence measures.

Transparency — 6.0 / 10

+ named VVB (SustainCERT S.A) and a recent monitoring period reported (2023-01-01 to 2023-12-31); total verified ERR reported (1,481) in monitoring report (2025)

- total ERR claimed is not stated in available documents and registry completeness details are missing

Transparency is supported by a named VVB, a clear monitoring period (2023) and a published verified emissions reduction of 1,481 tCO₂e in the monitoring report (2025). The dataset includes a PDD, validation report, monitoring report and issuance record (n_docs_used = 15) and extraction confidence is high. However, total ERR claimed is not stated in the available extracts and registry completeness (e.g., buffer allocation, serialised issuance) is not documented, limiting full traceability.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ not CORSIA-eligible (project-level flag false) which reduces one double-claim pathway

- missing usage monitoring data, no FNRB value, and contradictory leakage treatment increase over-crediting risk

The project is flagged as not CORSIA-eligible, reducing one double-claim concern. But missing key monitoring inputs (no usage monitoring method, no FNRB value, no usage rates) and contradictory statements on leakage and governance raise over-crediting risk. The project uses a project baseline which typically has higher over-crediting exposure than jurisdictional baselines given the evidence gaps.

Documentation — 5.5 / 10

+ multiple evidence documents listed including PDD, validation report, monitoring report and issuance; recent monitoring report dated 2025-03-06

- contradictions between validation (2023), PDD (2021/2022) and monitoring (2025) on several governance matters (safeguards, FPIC, grievance) indicate reliability issues

Documentation is reasonably complete in quantity and recency — a monitoring report dated 2025-03-06 is available and the VVB is named. Extraction confidence is high. Nevertheless, significant contradictions exist between the validation report (2023), the PDD (2021/2022) and the monitoring report (2025) on safeguards, grievance mechanism, benefit sharing, FPIC and crediting period, which undermines the reliability of the record and warrants follow-up.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB confirmed
● Permanence	no buffer % stated
● Leakage	not addressed in latest
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment unclear
● Safeguards	inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA no, CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish an explicit leakage treatment and any leakage deduction percentage in the latest monitoring report and registry entries (address contradiction with the PDD).

→ Resolve and document governance contradictions by submitting the validation follow-up or corrective evidence showing when safeguards, FPIC and grievance mechanisms were implemented and update the registry/issuance notes.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Review Report
- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Registration Review Report
- Registration Representation
- HOUSING DECARBONISATION IN ENGLAND AND WALES.kml
- Project Description
- Draft Project Description
- Listing Representation
- Verification Representation
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation
- Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-4F9622AF · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy