

QUALITY REPORT

Installation of High Efficient Cook Stoves by EKI Energy Services Limited

VCS-2664 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-590E9C70 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strengths: additionality was confirmed by the VVB, no material findings were reported, and the claimed and verified emission reductions match exactly. However, key risk controls are weak or missing in the extracted record, especially leakage treatment and baseline reassessment, which limits confidence in the crediting claim. The documentation is moderately complete, but several contradictions and missing values reduce reliability.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2664
Sector	cookstoves
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	VMR0006 1.1
Crediting Period	2020 — 2027
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A.
Verified ERs	215,035 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	18 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed, and no leakage deduction is stated in the verification report.
- Several safeguard-related items conflict across documents, including FPIC, benefit sharing, and grievance mechanism evidence.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ The verification report confirms additionality through an activity-based test and says the VVB, LGAI Technological Center, S.A., verified it.

- Leakage is not addressed in the extracted verification record, and no buffer pool or reversal protection is stated.

The verification report dated 2023-05-01 confirms additionality through an activity-based test and states that the VVB, LGAI Technological Center, S.A., verified the project. It also reports no material findings and no corrective actions required, which supports the project's integrity. That said, leakage is not addressed in the extracted record, the buffer pool is not stated, and no reversal history is available, so permanence and leakage controls remain weakly evidenced.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The verification report covers the 2022 monitoring period and shows claimed and verified reductions both at 215,035 tCO₂e.

- Public-facing completeness is limited because the baseline reassessment date, leakage treatment, and several monitoring details are not stated in the extracted record.

The monitoring and verification record covers the 2022 monitoring period and shows that claimed and verified emission reductions are both 215,035 tCO₂e. However, several transparency-relevant items are missing from the extracted record, including baseline reassessment timing, leakage deduction, and some usage parameters. The presence of a named VVB helps, but the overall disclosure quality is only moderate.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

+ The claimed and verified emission reductions are identical in the 2023 verification report, which reduces immediate over-crediting concern.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, and leakage is not addressed, which weakens confidence in the conservativeness of the claim.

Claim safety is supported by the exact match between claimed and verified emission reductions in the verification report. Even so, the baseline is described as project-based rather than clearly standardized or recently reassessed, and leakage is not addressed, which raises over-crediting risk. The project is not clearly positioned as CCP-approved or CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, so channel-risk mitigation is limited.

Documentation — 5.1 / 10

+ Seventeen documents were used, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.

- The record still has several null or not-stated fields, including buffer pool, baseline reassessment, and leakage deduction, which leaves important gaps.

Documentation quality is moderate because 17 documents were used and extraction confidence is medium. The record includes a dated verification report and several safeguard indicators, but important fields remain missing, such as buffer pool treatment, baseline reassessment, and leakage deduction. The contradictions around FPIC, safeguards, benefit sharing, and grievance mechanism reduce confidence in the completeness of the evidence set.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality test
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal evidence stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline with no reassessment date
● Safeguards	Safeguards present but inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear leakage assessment with either a quantified deduction or a documented justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Publish a complete, internally consistent safeguard and monitoring package, including FPIC evidence, grievance mechanism details, baseline reassessment timing, and buffer or reversal treatment.

Documents Reviewed

- PRR_VCS2664_31MAR2023_AR_25042023.docx
- VCS2664-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-2664- revised - Signed.pdf
- Project ID 2664_PRR_23-11-2022.pdf
- VCS 2664_MR_MP02_ver04.pdf
- VCS-Joint-PD_MR_15.08.22.pdf
- India OutlineFilled.kml
- Registration-Representation -2664-revised - signed.pdf
- VCS-Listing-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1_Signed.pdf
- SD-VISa-Listing-Representation-Single-Representor-v1.1-VCS 2664 - Signed.pdf
- VCS Joint PD and MR_V01_16102021.pdf
- SD-VISa-Project Description in Local Language_VVISTA 003_VCS 2664_Cook Stove-EKIESL.pdf
- SD-VISa-PD_VVISTA 003_VCS 2664_Cook Stove-EKIESL_V1.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- FVR_VCS_VER_2664_Cleaned.pdf
- FVR- version 4.1_CC.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-590E9C70 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy