QUALITY REPORT

Aonong AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Jiangxi Province

VCS-2691 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-5E99EB32 · Generated: 2026-04-15 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	5.8
	Transparency (25%)	5.2
	Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	Documentation (15%)	5.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some credibility signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a named verifier, and documented safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, key risk controls are incomplete or not stated in the extracted record, especially around leakage quantification, permanence treatment, and usage monitoring, which limits confidence in the claimed reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2691
Sector	other
Country	China
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0010 08.0
Crediting Period	2020 — 2030
VVB	Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd
Verified ERs	934,106 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	12 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-15

Red Flags

- Leakage deduction is not stated, even though the record says leakage was quantified.
- Reversal risk is not clearly addressed and no buffer pool percentage is available.
- The monitoring record shows eight corrective actions required, which suggests material documentation or implementation issues.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which is a meaningful positive signal.

- Leakage deduction is not stated, and reversal risk is marked as not addressed with no buffer pool percentage available.

The monitoring report shows additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project’s core crediting logic. At the same time, leakage treatment is not quantified in the extracted record, reversal risk is marked as not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is available, all of which weaken permanence and environmental integrity. The presence of eight corrective actions required in the monitoring report further reduces confidence in the robustness of the project controls.

Transparency — 5.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period and crediting period are clearly stated, and the VVB is named in the monitoring report.

- Total claimed reductions are not available in the extracted record, and usage monitoring is not described.

The record identifies the verifier as Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd and provides a clear monitoring period from 2020-04-25 to 2022-08-31. However, the extracted facts do not state the usage monitoring method, and total claimed reductions are not available, so public-facing MRV completeness is limited. The documentation is better than average because multiple official document types were used, but key operational details remain missing.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ The project uses ACM0010 under VCS, and the monitoring report reports verified emissions reductions of 934,106.

- No verified usage rate, leakage deduction, or CORSIA/CCP status is stated, which leaves over-crediting and double-claim risk unresolved.

Claim safety is supported by the use of a recognized VCS methodology, ACM0010 version 08.0, and a verified emissions reduction figure of 934,106 in the monitoring report. Still, the record does not state the leakage deduction percentage, verified usage rate, or any CORSIA or CCP status, so over-crediting and double-claim risks cannot be ruled out. Because the baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly standardized or recently reassessed baseline, the claim is only moderately robust.

Documentation — 5.6 / 10

+ Twelve documents were used, including validation, monitoring, PDD, and issuance materials, and extraction confidence is high.

- Eight corrective actions were required in the monitoring report, indicating notable documentation or implementation gaps.

Documentation quality is moderate to good because twelve documents were used, including validation, monitoring, PDD, and issuance materials, and extraction confidence is high. The project also documents FPIC, a grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing, which improves the completeness of the record. That said, the monitoring report required eight corrective actions, and several important fields are not stated in the extracted record, limiting overall completeness.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	reversal risk not addressed
Leakage	quantified but deduction not stated
Baseline	project baseline, reassessment not stated
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the leakage deduction percentage, usage monitoring method, and any verified usage rate in the monitoring documentation.

→ Clarify permanence treatment by stating whether a buffer pool applies and how reversal risk is managed, and disclose CORSIA/CCP status.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Registration and Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- Listing Representation
- Registration Representation
- Draft Project Description
- Project Description
- Validation Representation
- Verification Representation
- Validation Report
- Verification Report

Report ID: CM-5E99EB32 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-15 · Generated: 2026-04-15

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy