

QUALITY REPORT

Aonong AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Fujian Province

VCS-2692 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-D23124BF · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.7 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.3
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strengths: additionality was confirmed by the VVB, the project is registered under VCS, and the issuance record shows no material findings. However, important evidence gaps remain around leakage treatment, permanence, and monitoring detail, and the extracted record contains a major discrepancy in verified emissions reductions that reduces confidence in the numbers.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2692
Sector	other
Country	China
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0010 08.0
Crediting Period	2020 — 2030
VVB	Verra
Verified ERs	367,297 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	14 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The extracted record shows a large contradiction in verified emissions reductions, with one document supporting 367,297 credits and another 590,596 credits.
- Leakage deduction, buffer pool coverage, and reversal handling are not clearly documented in the extracted record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a combined additionality test under ACM0010.
- Leakage deduction is not stated, buffer pool coverage is not found, and reversal events are marked as not addressed.

The issuance report indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which supports the project's core environmental case. Still, leakage treatment is not quantified in the extracted record, buffer pool coverage is not found, and reversal events are marked as not addressed, which weakens permanence and overall robustness.

Transparency — 4.3 / 10

- + The issuance record identifies the VVB, the monitoring period, and the verified issuance amount of 367,297 credits.
- The monitoring method, usage monitoring approach, and claimed-versus-verified reconciliation are not found in the extracted record.

The issuance record provides the VVB name, monitoring period, and the verified issuance figure of 367,297 credits. But the monitoring approach is not stated, usage monitoring is not described, and the record does not provide a claimed-versus-verified comparison, limiting auditability.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

- + The project is registered under VCS and the issuance document reports no material findings.
- A major contradiction exists in verified emissions reductions, and the baseline is project-specific rather than a more conservative standardized baseline.

The project is registered under VCS and the issuance report reports no material findings, which is positive for claim safety. However, the extracted record contains a serious discrepancy in verified emissions reductions, and the project-specific baseline is less conservative than a clearly standardized or jurisdictional baseline.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

- + The record includes an issuance document dated 2023-04-03, a defined monitoring period, and multiple safeguard references such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism.
- Extraction confidence is low, only one evidence document is identified in the extracted record, and key fields such as leakage, buffer pool, and monitoring method are missing.

The record includes an issuance document dated 2023-04-03, a defined monitoring period, and safeguard references including FPIC, benefit sharing, and a grievance mechanism. Documentation quality is still limited by low extraction confidence, only one evidence document identified in the extracted record, and several missing operational details such as leakage, buffer pool, and monitoring method.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	Reversal handling not addressed
● Leakage	Leakage quantified but not evidenced
● Baseline	Project baseline without reassessment date
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the monitoring report and verification annexes showing the emissions calculation, leakage treatment, and the reconciliation between claimed and verified credits.

→ Provide clear documentation on buffer pool treatment, reversal risk management, and the reason for the discrepancy between the two verified emissions figures.

Documents Reviewed

- PRR_2692_Jan.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1-Aonong Fujian.pdf
- vcs-issuance-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final-2692.pdf
- MR-AonongB Fujian-2nd-20230330-V02-clean.pdf
- PDD2692c.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1-Aonong Fujian.pdf
- VCS-Listing-Representation-Aonong AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Fujian Province-22102021.pdf
- Aonong Fujian-2692.kml
- PD-Aonong AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Fujian Province-Clean.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1_AonongB FujianB 2ndB VER-signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1_AonongB FujianB AWMSB 1stB VER.pdf
- 2022-12-26 VCS-Joint-VAL-VER_FVR_Aonong Fujian AWMS_clean.pdf
- 2023-03-31B VCS_FVR_AonongB FujianB 2ndB VER.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1_AonongB FujianB AWMSB VAL.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-D23124BF · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy