QUALITY REPORT

Shuangbaotai AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Jiangsu Province

VCS-2706 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-C75C0ED8 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.5

Overall Score

out of 10

Integrity (35%)	7.4
Transparency (25%)	6.1
Claim Safety (25%)	6.6
Documentation (15%)	5.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has several strong quality signals: the VVB confirmed additionality, the baseline was reassessed in 2022, and the verified issuance matched the claimed amount. However, documentation quality is weakened by low extraction confidence, multiple corrective actions, and an inconsistency in monitored parameter reporting, which reduces confidence in the record. The project also remains CORSIA-eligible, so claim-safety is only moderate rather than strong.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2706
Sector	other
Country	China
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0010 08.0
Crediting Period	2020 — 2030
VVB	China Certification Center, Inc. (CCCI)
Verified ERs	118,757 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

■ Multiple corrective actions and a reporting inconsistency were identified in the verification report, including inconsistent reporting of monitored parameter Vt,db.

■ The project is CORSIA-eligible, which keeps dual-claim risk relevant even though no double-registration evidence was found.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 7.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using a combined test, which is a strong positive for project integrity.

+ The baseline was last reassessed in 2022, and the verification report states no reversal events during the monitoring period.

The verification report confirms additionality through a combined test and states that the VVB validated it, which supports the project's core integrity case. The baseline method is project-specific and was last reassessed in 2022, and the report notes no reversal events during the monitoring period. Leakage is described as quantified, but the extracted record does not provide the actual deduction percentage, so that part of the integrity evidence remains incomplete.

Transparency — 6.1 / 10

+ The monitoring period, verifier name, and both claimed and verified emission reductions are clearly stated in the verification report.

- The record has low extraction confidence and only one evidence document is identified, which limits confidence in completeness.

The verification report provides the monitoring period, verifier identity, and identical claimed and verified issuance totals of 118,757, which is a positive transparency signal. At the same time, min extraction confidence is low, meaning at least one key document was difficult to read, and the record identifies only one evidence document. The presence of several corrective actions and an inconsistency in monitored parameter reporting also weakens confidence in the completeness of the public record.

Claim Safety — 6.6 / 10

+ Claimed and verified emission reductions are identical at 118,757, which reduces immediate over-crediting concern.

+ The project has a quantified leakage treatment and a recent baseline reassessment, both of which support claim reliability.

Claim safety is supported by the fact that claimed and verified reductions match exactly, which reduces concern about over-crediting in this monitoring cycle. The project also has a recent baseline reassessment and a quantified leakage treatment, both of which help reduce accounting risk. However, the project is CORSIA-eligible, so it does not sit in the safest category for dual-claim exposure, and the extracted record does not provide enough detail on leakage magnitude to fully de-risk the claim.

Documentation — 5.2 / 10

+ The verification report is dated 2023-12-06 and covers the 2022 monitoring period, so the evidence is relatively recent.

- Low extraction confidence suggests at least one key document was poorly readable.

The documentation is reasonably recent, with a 2023 verification report covering the 2022 monitoring period and a crediting period that is still active. Still, low extraction confidence indicates that at least one source was poorly readable, and the report lists multiple CARs and CLs along with a required correction to align the monitoring report with the spreadsheet. Those issues reduce confidence in the completeness and cleanliness of the documentation set.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed combined test
Permanence	No reversals reported
Leakage	Quantified but deduction not stated
Baseline	Project baseline, reassessed in 2022
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the full leakage calculation, including the deduction percentage and supporting assumptions, in the monitoring documentation.

→ Resolve the reporting inconsistency for monitored parameter Vt,db and provide a cleaner, fully readable evidence package with all verification attachments.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS_VER_PRR_2706_04DEC2023.pdf
- VCS Issuance Representation 2706.pdf
- vcs-issuance-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final-2706.pdf
- R_PRR_2706_16DEC2022_dl.pdf
- VCSB jointB PDD-shuangbaotaiB Jiangsu-20221019-V04-clean.pdf
- B MR-ShuangbaotaiB Jiangsu-2nd-20230329-V02-CCCI-clean.pdf
- VCS Registration Representation 2706.pdf
- VCS-Listing-Representation-Shuangbaotai AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Jiangsu Province.pdf
- 02B ER-ShuangbaotaiB Jiangsu-PD-20220511-V02-CTI - EX-ANTE.xlsx
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-2706.pdf
- 04B ER-ShuangbaotaiB Jiangsu-1st-20220511-V02-CTI.xlsx
- 2706 Shuangbaotai Jiangsu.kml
- ER-ShuangbaotaiB Jiangsu-2nd-20231205-V02.xlsx
- PD-Shuangbaotai AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Jiangsu Province-clean.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1_ShuangbaotaiB JiangsuB AWMSB VAL.pdf
- 2023-12-06 VCS_FVR_Shuangbaotai Jiangsu 2nd VER-clean.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1_ShuangbaotaiB JiangsuB 2ndB VER-signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1_ShuangbaotaiB JiangsuB AWMSB 1stB VER.pdf
- 20221020_VCS-Joint-VAL-VER_FVR_Shuangbaotai Jiangsu AWMS_clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-C75C0ED8 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy