

QUALITY REPORT

Juruena River REDD+ Project

VCS-2709 · VCS · Brazil

Report ID: CM-6AB27F67 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.1

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.6
■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.9
■ Documentation (15%)	3.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has some positive signs, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a buffer contribution for non-permanence, and documented safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, the record also shows unresolved leakage treatment, multiple corrective actions, and a low-confidence extraction with several contradictions, which materially weakens confidence in the claimed reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2709
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	Brazil
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	VM0015 1.1
Crediting Period	2020 — 2050
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Verified ERs	25,726 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2021
Confidence	Low
Documents Reviewed	24 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the extracted record, despite corrective actions saying the leakage belt must be monitored and adjusted.
- The verification record contains multiple clarifications and corrective actions, including concerns about assumptions, data, calculations, and insufficient documentation.
- The extracted facts contain contradictions on buffer pool treatment, FPIC, reversal reporting, leakage treatment, and verified ER totals.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.6 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project case.
- Leakage is not addressed in the extracted record, and corrective actions explicitly require leakage-belt monitoring and adjustment.

The verification report indicates additionality was confirmed by Earthood Services Private Limited using an investment test, which is a meaningful positive. The project also sets aside 10% of net reductions into the AFOLU pooled buffer account, but reversal risk is still not fully clean because the extracted record says reversal events are not addressed and the contradiction log shows an alternate statement that none were reported. Leakage is a major weakness because the extracted record says it is not addressed, while corrective actions require the project proponent to monitor and adjust the leakage belt.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The monitoring period and verification entity are identified, and the project has a clearly stated registry code and status.
- The extraction confidence is low and the record includes multiple contradictions, including the verified ER total and leakage treatment.

The project has identifiable monitoring dates, a named VVB, and a clear registry status, which helps traceability. However, the extraction confidence is low, and the record contains contradictions on buffer pool treatment, FPIC, reversal reporting, leakage justification, and verified ER totals. Because the documentation is not fully reliable, transparency is materially weakened even though the project is registered and the monitoring period is stated.

Claim Safety — 3.9 / 10

- + The project is not presented as CCP-approved, which avoids one channel of claim ambiguity.
- CORSIA eligibility is stated as true, while leakage treatment is unclear and the verified ER total is lower than the claimed total.

Claim safety is weakened by the mismatch between claimed and verified emission reductions, with 28,585 claimed versus 25,726 verified. The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, which can increase claim complexity, while leakage treatment is not clearly quantified and the baseline is project-specific rather than a more standardized jurisdictional approach. The absence of CCP status in the extracted record avoids one specific claim pathway, but it does not offset the over-crediting and leakage concerns.

Documentation — 3.4 / 10

- + The record includes a substantial set of extracted documents and names the VVB, monitoring period, and crediting period.
- Low extraction confidence and several unresolved contradictions reduce trust in the completeness and readability of the documentation.

The record includes many extracted items, a named verifier, a monitoring period, and a long crediting period, which is helpful. Still, the extraction confidence is low, and the verification findings include multiple clarifications, corrective actions, and forward actions, suggesting incomplete or problematic documentation. The contradictions also indicate that some key facts were not consistently captured across source documents.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	buffer present but reversal treatment unclear
● Leakage	leakage not addressed
● Baseline	project baseline with reassessment timing unclear
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible status creates claim complexity

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear, quantified leakage assessment and document how the leakage belt was set and updated.

→ Resolve the verification contradictions with a reconciled evidence pack showing the final verified ER total, buffer treatment, and reversal status.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS-Issuance-Representation_Juruena River.pdf
- 2709_RV1_VCS_PRR_12NOV2020_31DEC2021.pdf
- Issuance Representation_2709_2025-12-22.pdf
- VCS-Joint-PD_JuruenaRiverREDDProject_v16-clean.pdf
- Reference Area.kml
- Leakage Management Area.kml
- Deed_of_Partial_Release_Juruena-assinado_rev44.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation_Juruena River.pdf
- Deed_of_Accession_Juruena_rev44.pdf
- limit_leakage_belt_juruena.kml
- VCS-Partial-Release-Listing-Representation_Juruena River Verra signed.pdf
- Letter Of Project Transfer Between Registry Accounts - Juruena.pdf
- Registration Representation_2709_2025-12-22.pdf
- VCS-Listing-Representation-Juruena River REDD+ Project.pdf
- PA_Juruena.kml
- VCS PD Calculation_Juruena River REDD_Project_v13_sf.xlsx
- VCS MR Calculation Juruena River Project_period 12112020_11112021_v10.xlsx
- VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report_Juruena REDD Project_Joint PD-MR_v08_clean.pdf
- DRAFT_VCS Joint PD_Juruena River REDD Project v01.pdf
- VCS SocialCarbon-Validation Report_CL_200825.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1 signed.pdf
- VCS SocialCarbon-Verification Report_CL_200825.pdf
- VCS SocialCarbon-Verification Report_CL-091225.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1_Signed.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-6AB27F67 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy