QUALITY REPORT

Associated Gas Recovery and Utilization at Khamilah oil field area at Block-27 in Wilayat Ibri of the Sultanate of Oman

VCS-2768 · VCS · Oman

Report ID: CM-E1860125 · Generated: 2026-04-08 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.7 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	5.2
	Transparency (25%)	6.1
	Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	Documentation (15%)	7.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a VCS methane/associated gas recovery project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported material findings or corrective actions in the extracted record. However, leakage treatment appears inconsistent across documents and there are major inconsistencies in reported/verified ER figures and even the crediting period dates, which increases over-crediting and reliability risk.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2768
Sector	methane
Country	Oman
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AM0009 07.0
Crediting Period	2020 — 2030
VVB	CCCI
Verified ERs	605,264 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	25 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-07

Red Flags

- ■ Large inconsistencies in emissions reductions figures across validation reports (e.g., claimed 58,324 vs 384,590; verified 384,590 vs 605,264), raising data reliability and over-crediting concerns.
- ■ Leakage is shown as a 0% deduction while the monitoring report states leakage was not addressed, conflicting with a later validation report that says leakage was quantified.
- ■ Crediting period dates conflict between monitoring reports (2020-01-05—2030-01-04 vs 2020-08-03—2030-08-02), creating uncertainty about eligibility boundaries.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ Additionality is confirmed by the VVB (validation/verification documentation referenced in the extracted record).

- Leakage handling is inconsistent (0% deduction while one monitoring report says leakage was not addressed), and baseline reassessment timing was not found in the extracted record.

The extracted record indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB, supporting additionality robustness (validation/verification documentation referenced). Baseline setting is project-specific (project baseline), and the timing of any baseline reassessment was not found in the extracted record, which weakens confidence in ongoing baseline validity. Leakage is particularly weak: the monitoring report (2023-06-27) says leakage was not addressed while a 0% leakage deduction is recorded, which is hard to reconcile for an oil & gas methane project type.

Transparency — 6.1 / 10

+ Monitoring period is clearly stated (2021-01-01—2023-03-31) and the VVB is identified as CCCI.

- Reported ER numbers are inconsistent across documents, reducing MRV clarity and comparability.

The monitoring report (2023-06-27) clearly defines the monitoring period as 2021-01-01 to 2023-03-31, and the VVB is identified as CCCI, which supports traceability. However, the extracted record shows major inconsistencies in emissions reduction totals across validation reports, which undermines transparency of the MRV narrative and makes it difficult to understand what was actually claimed versus verified. No material findings or corrective actions are reported in the extracted record, but the contradictions suggest readers should scrutinize the underlying calculations and versioning.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, reducing one channel of high-risk claims.

- Contradictory ER and leakage statements increase perceived over-crediting/greenwashing risk.

The project is listed as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces the risk of higher-stakes aviation claims based on these credits. Still, over-crediting risk is elevated because the extracted record contains conflicting claimed and verified ER totals across validation reports and inconsistent leakage treatment (monitoring report 2023-06-27 vs validation report 2023-06-29). CCP status was not found in the extracted record, leaving uncertainty for high-integrity claims aligned with CCP-style expectations.

Documentation — 7.4 / 10

+ A relatively complete document set is indicated (PDD, validation report, monitoring report, issuance) with 25 documents used and high extraction confidence.

- Key fields still conflict across official documents (ER totals, safeguards items, crediting period), indicating documentation quality/control issues.

Documentation coverage appears relatively strong: the extracted record lists a PDD, validation report, monitoring report, and issuance, with 25 documents used and high extraction confidence. Despite that, multiple key facts conflict across official documents (ER totals, safeguards-related statements, and crediting period dates), indicating document control/versioning problems rather than simple missing data. No corrective actions are recorded in the extracted record, but the contradictions warrant careful reconciliation against the latest verification/issuance package.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
Permanence	No reversal risk indicated for methane capture; no reversals
Leakage	0% deduction with inconsistent/missing justification
Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing unclear
Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance reported but inconsistent across y
Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear reconciliation table (by document version/date) explaining why claimed and verified ER totals changed (58,324 → 384,590 → 605,264) and which figures correspond to which monitoring/vintage periods and issuance events.

→ Provide a consistent leakage assessment aligned with AM0009, including whether leakage is applicable, the quantified result (if any), and why the leakage deduction is 0%, with the same conclusion reflected across monitoring and validation/verification reports.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- Listing Representation
- Communications Agreement
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Validation Representation
- Validation Report
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation

Report ID: CM-E1860125 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-07 · Generated: 2026-04-08

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy