

QUALITY REPORT

Grouped Reforestation Project by Cropzone Agro Forestry Private Limited

VCS-2833 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-ACDC067A · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.9Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
■ Documentation (15%)	3.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS reforestation project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no material findings reported, which supports moderate integrity. However, key baseline, leakage, and crediting-quantification details are missing, and the record has low extraction confidence plus several safeguard-related contradictions that reduce trust in the documentation.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2833
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AR-ACM0003 3.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2037
VVB	KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd.
Monitoring Period	2017 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	17 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Baseline method, leakage deduction, and buffer pool treatment are not stated in the extracted record.
- The safeguard-related record contains contradictions across documents, including FPIC, grievance mechanism, benefit sharing, and safeguards documentation.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using a barrier test, and no material findings were reported in the verification record.

- Baseline method, buffer pool treatment, and reversal history are not stated in available documents, limiting confidence in permanence and baseline validity.

The verification report indicates additionality was confirmed through a barrier test, and the VVB is identified as KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. No material findings or corrective actions were reported, which is positive. Still, the baseline method, buffer pool percentage, and reversal-event detail are not stated in available documents, so permanence and baseline robustness cannot be strongly credited.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period from 2017-06-04 to 2022-02-10, which gives some MRV structure.

- Claimed versus verified ER totals are not available, and the extraction confidence is low, so public reporting completeness appears limited.

The project has a defined monitoring period and a named verifier, which supports some transparency in MRV. However, the extracted record does not provide claimed or verified ER totals, and usage monitoring details are not stated. Because min extraction confidence is low, the documentation quality is further weakened.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces dual-market claim risk.

- Leakage is only described as negligible without a quantified deduction, and the absence of baseline and FNRB details makes over-crediting risk harder to judge.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that the project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, reducing one channel of double-claim risk. On the other hand, leakage is only described as negligible, without a quantified deduction, and the baseline method and FNRB information are missing. That leaves some uncertainty about over-crediting risk.

Documentation — 3.4 / 10

+ Fourteen documents were used, and the record includes a recent document date of 2024-01-27.

- Extraction confidence is low, and several key fields are missing or not stated, including baseline, leakage, and verified issuance totals.

The record draws on 14 documents and includes a recent document date, which is a modest strength. But extraction confidence is low, and several core fields are missing or not stated, including baseline, leakage deduction, buffer pool, and issuance totals. The safeguard-related contradictions also reduce confidence in the completeness of the documentation.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed barrier test
● Permanence	buffer pool not stated
● Leakage	negligible but unquantified
● Baseline	baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	present but contradictory
● Double-claim	not CORSIA-eligible

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the baseline method, leakage treatment, buffer pool treatment, and any reversal monitoring details in a single reconciled document.

→ Provide verified issuance totals and a clearer public MRV package that resolves the safeguard contradictions and improves extraction readability.

Documents Reviewed

- 2833_PRR_12092023.pdf
- VCS_ISS_DEED_2833_04062017_10022022.pdf
- VCS_MON_REP_2833_04062017_10022022.pdf
- Verra Letter..pdf
- New Communications Agreement - Executed.pdf
- VCS_REG_DEED_2833_22092023.pdf
- VCS_KML_2833_12092023.kml
- VCS_AFOLU_OTHER_ELEM_ASSE_2833_04122021.jpg
- VCS_Registry_Listing Representation.pdf
- Project Location_Cropzone.kml
- Termination_of_Agency_and_Communication_Agreements_PDF.pdf
- email to EKI.pdf
- Letter of Termination..pdf
- VCS-Cropzone Agro_PD MR_Clean Version.pdf
- VCS_VERIF_DEED_2833_04062017_10022022.pdf
- VCS_VALID_DEED_2833_20092023.pdf
- VCS_VERIF_REP_2833_04062017_10022022.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-ACDC067A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy