QUALITY REPORT

Muyuan WJZ AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Henan Province

VCS-2876 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-A19448C0 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.0 Overall Score out of 10	**Integrity (35%)**	**3.8**
	Transparency (25%)	**4.2**
	Claim Safety (25%)	**3.9**
	Documentation (15%)	**4.1**

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive signs, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a stated investment test, but the validation report also lists several material findings and unresolved leakage treatment. Overall confidence is limited by missing quantified monitoring data and a contradiction on leakage handling, which weakens trust in the claimed emission reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2876
Sector	other
Country	China
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0010 08.0
Crediting Period	2020 — 2030
VVB	Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The validation report reports multiple material findings, including inconsistent farm operation dates and incorrectly reported project emissions.
- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents: one record says it was quantified, while the validation report says it was not addressed.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses an investment test under ACM0010.

- Leakage is not addressed in the validation report, and the record contains a contradiction with another document that reportedly quantified it.

The project benefits from VVB-confirmed additionality and an investment test, which supports the baseline case. However, the validation report dated 2024-08-22 lists multiple material findings, including inconsistent farm operation dates, unclear parameter information, and incorrectly reported project emissions. Leakage is a major weakness because the validation report says it was not addressed, and the record does not show a buffer pool or reversal treatment.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period and crediting period are stated, and the VVB is identified as Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd.

- No verified ERR totals, usage monitoring method, or grid emission factor are found in the extracted record, limiting auditability.

Transparency is moderate at best: the VVB is named, and the monitoring period and crediting period are clearly stated. But the extracted record does not provide verified ERR totals, a usage monitoring method, or a grid emission factor, which makes independent checking difficult. The medium extraction confidence also suggests at least one key source was not fully legible.

Claim Safety — 3.9 / 10

+ The project is not shown to have double-registration or dual issuance in the extracted record.

- Project-specific baseline and unresolved leakage treatment increase over-crediting risk, and the validation report flags incorrect parameter and emissions reporting.

Claim safety is weakened by the project-specific baseline and the lack of a clear leakage treatment. The validation report’s material findings about incorrect parameter values and project emissions raise over-crediting concerns. There is no evidence in the extracted record of double registration or dual issuance, but the absence of CORSIA or CCP status keeps that channel risk unresolved.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

+ Eighteen documents were used, and the validation report date is recent relative to the monitoring period.

- One key document was only medium-confidence extracted, and the validation report lists several material findings with no corrective actions recorded.

Documentation is only fair because 18 documents were used and the validation report is recent, but the extracted record still leaves important gaps. The minimum extraction confidence is medium rather than high, and no corrective actions are recorded despite several material findings. The crediting period is long, but the monitoring evidence available here is incomplete.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	No buffer or reversal treatment stated
Leakage	Leakage not addressed in validation
Baseline	Project baseline with no reassessment date
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a fully reconciled leakage assessment and explain the contradiction between the quantified and not-addressed leakage references.

→ Publish verified ERR totals, usage monitoring details, and corrective action closure evidence for all material findings.

Documents Reviewed

- PRR_2876_Closed.pdf
- SD_PRR_2876_22AUG2024.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1-Muyuan WJZ.pdf
- SD-VISta-MR-Muyuan+WJZ+V04 Clean.pdf
- SD-VISta-Monitoring-Report-Muyuan WJZ.pdf
- VCS-Joint-Project-Description-Monitoring-Report-Muanyuan WJZ-V03-clean.pdf
- Listing Representation-Muyuan WJZ.pdf
- Communication Agreement-Muyuan WJZ.pdf
- 2876 Muyuan WJZ.kml
- SD-VISta-Listing-Representation-Muyuan WJZ.pdf
- VCS-Registration-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1-Muyuan WJZ.pdf
- PD-Muyuan WJZ AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Henan Province-clean.pdf
- SD VISta-Project Description-Muyuan WJZ.pdf
- SD+VISta-PD-Muyuan+WJZ-V04 Clean.pdf
- SD-VISta-Verification-Report-Muyuan WJZ AWMS 1st-clean.pdf
- 2023-04-20-VCS-Joint-VAL-VER_FVR_Muyuan WJZ AWMS_track.pdf
- SD-VISta-Validation-Report-Muyuan WJZ AWMS-clean.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1_Muyuan WJZ AWMS 1st VER.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1_Muyuan WJZ AWMS VAL.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A19448C0 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy