

QUALITY REPORT

150 MW Grid Connected Wind Power Based Electricity Generation Project In Gujarat, India.

VCS-292 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-337B715B · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversal events, which supports a moderate integrity profile. However, leakage is not addressed, several monitoring details are missing, and the record contains multiple contradictions that reduce confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-292
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2020
Project Methodology	ACM0002 19.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2027
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Verified ERs	281,410 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	42 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed, with no quantified deduction or supporting justification in the extracted record.
- The record contains contradictions on credited emissions, crediting period dates, safeguards, and additionality test type, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project used an investment test under ACM0002.
- Leakage treatment is not addressed, and no buffer pool or reversal-risk detail is found in the extracted documents.

The verification record confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB, VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd., verified the project. This is a positive sign for baseline and additionality robustness, but leakage is explicitly not addressed and no buffer pool or reversal-event detail is available in the extracted record. Because this is a wind avoidance project, permanence risk is inherently lower than for removals, but the missing leakage treatment still weakens the score.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

- + The monitoring period and VVB name are stated, and claimed and verified ERs are identical at 281,410.
- Meter calibration details, ongoing stakeholder communication, and usage-monitoring method are not stated in the available record.

The monitoring period is stated as 2022-01-01 to 2023-05-31, and the claimed and verified emission reductions both equal 281,410, which supports basic registry completeness. Still, the extracted documents do not state the usage-monitoring method, meter calibration details were requested as a corrective action, and the mechanism for ongoing stakeholder communication was also flagged as missing. Those gaps limit confidence in MRV transparency.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

- + The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers dual-market claim risk.
- Leakage is not addressed, and the record shows a large emissions claim with contradictions on the credited amount across documents.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that the project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, reducing the risk of overlapping aviation claims. However, leakage is not addressed, and the record contains a large emissions claim alongside contradictions in the credited amount across documents, which raises over-crediting concern. The baseline method is project-specific rather than a clearly recent standardized reassessment, so the claim is not especially conservative.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

- + A relatively large set of documents was used, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.
- Several corrective actions were still required in the issuance record, including compliance declarations and missing monitoring details.

The file set is fairly broad, with 42 documents used and medium extraction confidence, which is better than a sparse record. Even so, the issuance record still lists multiple corrective actions, including missing compliance declarations, stakeholder communication detail, meter calibration information, and cross-crediting-period parameter reporting. That indicates the documentation is usable but incomplete.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Avoidance project with no reversal events reported
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	Safeguards present but inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear leakage assessment with either a quantified deduction or a documented justification for why leakage is negligible.

→ Resolve the document contradictions by reconciling the credited emissions, crediting period, safeguards, and additionality test across the issuance and earlier validation/verification records.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor (BPL VAYU).pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Single-Representor-v4.1 (2).pdf
- V2_292_PRR_23JUL2020.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_292_14DEC2009.pdf
- Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3.pdf
- VCS_292_MR_v04_clean.pdf
- MR Version 2.0 Final (1).pdf
- VCS-MR-V.3-TR_Clean.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report_Ver 02_PRR - Clean.pdf
- MONIT_REP_292_30SEPT2007_17JUN2009.pdf
- Communications agreement.pdf
- LoA from MOEFCC.pdf
- Registration Representation.pdf
- V4_292_PRR_26May2022.pdf
- SDG-Contributions-Report_BLP Vayu (1).pdf
- Letter of Transfer of VCS 292 Project.pdf
- registration deed of representation 150MW.pdf
- ZHUVISA8FWTPL4Y0RJCO3D72QENBM6.pdf
- VCS-PD BLPV3)_Clean.pdf
- VCS-PD BLPV2)_Clean.pdf
- VCS PD - 150MW - DLF Guj.pdf
- VCS_VCR_BLP_ID292 updated final.pdf
- VERIF_REP_292_30OCT2009.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- FVR_VKU.VER.125.23_VCS_292_Clean.pdf
- CDM Val Rpt 292.pdf
- DOR-VERIFICATION.pdf
- VCS Verification Report_BLP_VCS ID 292_07.07.2020.pdf
- VCS Verification Report-150MW - DLF Guj_With VCU breakup.pdf
- FVR_VCS_VER_4322_VCS ID 0292_06.06.2022 - Clean.pdf
- VCS_Validation_Deed_RCP_VCS292.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2_VKU.VER.125.23_VCS_292_signed.pdf
- VCS-Validation_RCP_VCS292.pdf
- DOR-VALIDATION.pdf
- VCS Verification Report_BLP_VCS ID 292_clean.pdf
- VCS-Deed_BLP_VCS ID 292.pdf
- VCS-Validation Deed RCP_VCS292.pdf
- VCS Validation Report - 150MW -DLF Guj.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-337B715B · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy