

## QUALITY REPORT

# GLOBAL COOKSTOVE PROGRAM (EKI - Pink City)

VCS-2940 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-78E467CB · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

**4.8**Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	<b>5.0</b>
■ Transparency (25%)	<b>5.5</b>
■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>4.5</b>
■ Documentation (15%)	<b>4.0</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project shows some substantive controls: additionality was confirmed by the VVB and leakage was addressed quantitatively. However, missing baseline reassessment, absent buffer details, multiple material findings and required corrective actions reduce confidence in robustness and increase risk of errors or over-crediting.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2940
Sector	cookstoves
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	VMR0006 1.1
Crediting Period	2022 — 2029
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A.(Applus+ Certification)
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	14 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

## Red Flags

- Multiple material findings and a long list of corrective actions required in the verification report
- Contradiction on how the non-renewable biomass fraction (fNRB) was derived (state vs national default) and inconsistent crediting period dates

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.0 / 10

+ additionality confirmed by the VVB in the verification report (doc dated 2024-10-18)

- several material findings (insufficient stakeholder consultation, missing PCP dates, anomalies in database) and no buffer pool percentage provided

The verification report (2024-10-18) confirms additionality using the stated activity-level test and was verified by a recognized VVB, which supports integrity. Leakage is described as quantified, which is positive. However, there is no buffer pool percentage reported, baseline reassessment timing is not stated, and there are multiple material findings (insufficient stakeholder consultation, missing PCP dates, anomalies in the database) with several corrective actions required; these weaken confidence in baseline validity and permanence.

### Transparency — 5.5 / 10

+ VVB is identified (LGAI Technological Center, Applus+) and a monitoring period is reported (2022-12-05 — 2023-04-30) in the verification report

- key reconciliation numbers are missing from extracted records (total emissions reductions claimed and verified not stated; evidence documents listed as unknown)

The VVB is named (LGAI/Applus+) and the monitoring period is provided (2022-12-05 — 2023-04-30), and the project is registered under VCS, all of which aid transparency. Conversely, core numeric reconciliations are missing from the extracted record (total ER claimed and verified not stated), evidence documents are listed as 'unknown', and extraction confidence is only medium, reducing traceability and verifiability.

### Claim Safety — 4.5 / 10

+ fNRB value is provided (0.8933539909502514) and leakage is stated as quantified in the verification report

- method for fNRB conflicts across documents (state method vs national default) and usage/uptake monitoring details are incomplete, increasing over-crediting risk

The verification report provides an fNRB value (0.8933) and states leakage was quantified, which reduces obvious greenwashing risk. Nevertheless, there is a clear contradiction on the fNRB method (one document indicates a state method, another indicates national default), usage monitoring details are incomplete, and CCP/CORSIA status is not stated — these gaps and inconsistencies increase the chance of over-crediting or double-claiming.

### Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ recent verification report exists (doc date 2024-10-18) and twelve documents were used in extraction

- extraction confidence is only medium, evidence documents are not well listed, and multiple corrective actions remain open

A recent verification report (2024-10-18) and 12 documents were used according to the extraction, which is positive. But the minimum extraction confidence is medium, evidence documents are poorly catalogued, and the verification report lists several corrective actions still outstanding; documentation completeness and reliability are therefore limited.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed
● <b>Permanence</b>	buffer unclear
● <b>Leakage</b>	quantified
● <b>Baseline</b>	project baseline; reassessment unclear
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status unknown

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide and publish the final fNRB calculation sheet plus clear documentation on whether state or national defaults were applied and why

→ Resolve all VVB corrective actions (document stakeholder consultations, PCP dates, database clean-up) and publish the supporting evidence and reconciled issuance/verification figures

## Documents Reviewed

- VCS\_RV1\_PRR\_2940\_18OCT2024.pdf
- REVISED VCS2940-Issuance-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.1 (1)\_copy).pdf
- Ex-ante ER\_2940.xls
- Ex-post ER\_2940.xlsx
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- VCS PD-MR\_2940 - Clean.pdf
- India OutlineFilled.kml
- REVISED VCS2940-Registration-Representation-Multiple-Representors-v4.1 (1)\_copy).pdf
- VCS 2940\_fNRFB.xlsx
- LIST\_REP\_2940\_07FEB2022.pdf
- PROJ\_DESC\_DRAFT\_2940\_13APR2022.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- FVR\_2940 - Clean.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-78E467CB · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)