

## QUALITY REPORT

# Global Cookstove Program (EKI Phase 05)

VCS-2943 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-E67A12C9 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

## 5.3

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS cookstove project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no material findings reported in the verification report. However, key items are missing or unclear, including leakage treatment, reversal/buffer details, and verified usage rates, which limits confidence in the credited reductions.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-2943
Sector	cookstoves
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	VMR0006 1.1
Crediting Period	2022 — 2029
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A.(Applus+ Certification)
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	13 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

## Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the verification record, and no leakage deduction is stated.
- The record contains a crediting-period contradiction between the 2024 verification report and an earlier document, which weakens reliability.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses an activity-method test under VMR0006.
- Leakage is marked as not addressed, with no leakage deduction stated and no buffer pool information found.

The verification report dated 2024-10-21 confirms additionality through an activity-method approach and says there were no material findings or corrective actions required. At the same time, leakage is explicitly not addressed, no leakage deduction is stated, and buffer pool or reversal information is not found in the extracted record, which weakens the permanence/leakage side of integrity.

### Transparency — 5.1 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a recent verification report dated 2024-10-21.
- Claimed versus verified emission reductions are not stated, and verified usage rates are also not found in the extracted record.

Transparency is moderate because the project has a named VVB, a clear monitoring period, and a recent verification report. But the extracted record does not state total claimed versus verified emission reductions, and verified usage rates are also missing, so the MRV trail is incomplete.

### Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

- + The project reports a local-field non-renewable biomass fraction of 0.8185, which is more specific than a generic default.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized or recently reassessed, and leakage treatment is unresolved.

Claim safety is constrained by the unresolved leakage treatment and the absence of verified usage-rate data. The local-field non-renewable biomass fraction of 0.8185 supports a more tailored baseline input, but the baseline remains project-specific and not shown as recently reassessed, which leaves over-crediting risk above average.

### Documentation — 5.0 / 10

- + Eleven documents were used, and the verification report reports no material findings and no corrective actions required.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the record does not provide key quantitative fields such as verified emissions, buffer pool, or reversal details.

Documentation is fair but not strong: eleven documents were used, and the verification report is recent and clean on findings. Still, the extraction confidence is only medium, and several important fields are missing, including buffer pool details, reversal events, and verified emissions figures.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed additionality test
● <b>Permanence</b>	No buffer or reversal detail stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage not addressed
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project-specific baseline
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the verified emission reductions, usage-rate results, and leakage calculation in the monitoring/verification package.

→ Resolve the crediting-period discrepancy and provide explicit buffer pool or reversal-risk treatment for the cookstove program.

## Documents Reviewed

- VCS\_RV1\_PRR\_2943\_21OCT2024.pdf
- vcs2943-issuance-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final.pdf
- VCS-2943\_V.6\_Clean.pdf
- Exante- ER estimation 2943 v4.xls
- Expost ER\_2943 V.6 31.05.xlsx
- India OutlineFilled.kml
- LIST\_REP\_2943\_07FEB2022.pdf
- vcs2943-registration-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final (1).pdf
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- PROJ\_DESC\_DRAFT\_2943\_13APR2022.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- Joint FVR\_VCS 2943\_v4.0 - Clean.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E67A12C9 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19  
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy