

QUALITY REPORT

Rwanda Riparian Restoration Project

VCS-3072 · VCS · Rwanda

Report ID: CM-8D36DE56 · Generated: 2026-04-08 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.8Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.8
■ Transparency (25%)	7.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	6.1
■ Documentation (15%)	7.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a partial-evidence VCS AFOLU project with a public validation report and project description, but no monitoring report or issuance/retirement record provided here. The audited documentation supports a credible ARR-style revegetation project, though claim safety is limited by the absence of post-registration monitoring and any public evidence of issued credits.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-3072
Country	Rwanda
Project Methodology	AR-ACM0003 02.0
Crediting Period	2022 — 2062
VVB	KBS Certification Services Limited
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2025
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	8 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-08

Red Flags

- No public monitoring report provided, so realized removals and operational performance cannot be independently checked
- No public issuance/retirement record shown, limiting buyer claim traceability
- Leakage is asserted as not required rather than quantified

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.8 / 10

[✓ TÜV NORD validation [Validation Report 15.12.2022/15.09.2023] supports ARR/revegetation on degraded riparian land, non-forest for 10+ years', '~ Leakage is treated as not required because agricultural displacement is not expected; no quantified deduction is shown [Validation Report]]

Transparency — 7.2 / 10

[✓ Public validation report and project description are available via the official registry extract', 'X No monitoring report or issuance/retirement record provided in the evidence set']

Claim Safety — 6.1 / 10

[✓ Recent project start date (01/10/2022) and registration (2023-12-27) reduce vintage-age claim risk', 'X No evidence of CCP eligibility, Article 6 authorization, corresponding adjustment, or public retirement trail']

Documentation — 7.0 / 10

[✓ Validation report is public and detailed, with site visit, interviews, and methodology references', 'X Monitoring report and third-party verification of issued credits are not included in the provided documents']

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	validated pre-issuance, but still based on project design an
● Permanence	AFOLU reversal risk exists; validation references non-perman
● Leakage	no quantified leakage deduction; justification relies on no
● Baseline	baseline and land eligibility were independently validated o
● Safeguards	stakeholder consultation, land rights, and no-net-harm claim
● Double-claim	VCS registration is clear, but retirement/corresponding-adju

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish the first monitoring report with survival rates, clump counts, and measured removals on the registry
- Add a public issuance and retirement trail for each vintage, including serial numbers and retirement purpose
- Disclose the non-permanence risk buffer percentage and any leakage assessment details in a public monitoring annex

Documents Reviewed

- SD VISta Draft Monitoring Report
- SD VISta Listing Representation
- Listing Representation
- Project Description
- SD VISta Draft Project Description
- Draft Project Description
- Validation Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-8D36DE56 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-08 · Generated: 2026-04-08
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy