

QUALITY REPORT

Bangladesh Apon Chula Improved Cookstove Program I

VCS-3144 · VCS · Bangladesh

Report ID: CM-FBABE075 · Generated: 2026-04-15 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.2Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.6
■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered cookstove project with VVB validation, FPIC, and a grievance mechanism documented, but the extracted record leaves major gaps on baseline reassessment, monitoring, leakage treatment detail, and verified issuance figures. The low extraction confidence and several document contradictions reduce confidence in the file, even though the newer validation report is generally more specific than the older PDD.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-3144
Sector	cookstoves
Country	Bangladesh
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	VMR0006 1.1
Crediting Period	2022 — 2032
VVB	Earthood Services Limited
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	12 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-15

Red Flags

- No verified emission reduction figures were extracted, so over-crediting risk cannot be checked against claimed issuance.
- Leakage is described as quantified, but the actual deduction percentage is not stated in the extracted record.
- The project is not CORSIA-eligible, but CCP status is not stated, leaving some dual-claim uncertainty.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by Earthood Services Limited in the validation report.

- The baseline is project-specific and the last reassessment date is not stated; reversal treatment is also not addressed.

The validation report from Earthood Services Limited confirms additionality, which supports the project's core integrity case. However, the baseline is only described as project-based, the baseline reassessment timing is not stated, and reversal risk is marked as not addressed, which weakens permanence and baseline robustness. Leakage is said to be quantified, but the actual deduction percentage is missing from the extracted record, so the treatment cannot be fully evaluated.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

+ The validation report identifies the VVB and documents the project as registered under VCS with methodology VMR0006.

- Monitoring-period details, verified emission reductions, and usage-monitoring method are not found in the extracted record.

The project is documented under VCS with methodology VMR0006, and the validation report identifies the VVB clearly. Still, the extracted record does not provide the monitoring period, verified emission reductions, or the usage-monitoring method, all of which limit public traceability and MRV clarity. The low extraction confidence also suggests at least one source was difficult to read, which further reduces transparency confidence.

Claim Safety — 3.6 / 10

+ The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces one channel of claim overlap.

- The record does not provide verified emission reductions, leakage deduction percentage, or usage-rate evidence, limiting over-crediting checks.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that the project is not CORSIA-eligible, reducing one pathway for overlapping claims. But the record does not include verified emission reductions, usage-rate evidence, or the actual leakage deduction, so the risk of over-crediting cannot be checked well. CCP status is not stated, so dual-channel claim risk is not fully resolved.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ Two core document types were used, including a recent validation report dated 2025-07-14.

- Extraction confidence is low and several key fields remain not stated, including monitoring period and verified issuance data.

The evidence set includes a PDD, a validation report, and additional extracted material, and the validation report is relatively recent. The record also shows no material findings and no corrective actions required, which is positive. Even so, low extraction confidence and multiple not-stated fields, especially around monitoring and issuance, indicate incomplete documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	reversal risk not addressed
● Leakage	quantified but deduction missing
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	not CORSIA-eligible, CCP not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the verified emission reductions, leakage deduction percentage, and usage-monitoring method for the monitoring period.

→ Provide a clear baseline reassessment record and explicit reversal/permanence treatment, along with a complete registry trail for CCP status and issuance.

Documents Reviewed

- Registration Representation
- Registration Review Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Listing Representation
- SD VISta Listing Representation
- Project Description
- Draft Project Description
- SD VISta Draft Project Description
- Validation Representation
- Validation Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-FBABE075 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-15 · Generated: 2026-04-15
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy