

QUALITY REPORT

Boomitra Grassland Restoration in East Africa Through Soil Enrichment

VCS-3340 · VCS · Kenya

Report ID: CM-9A046EAD · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.5
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.0
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.5

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity features (VVB-confirmed additionality, 15% buffer) but important gaps remain: leakage and reversal treatment are not clearly addressed, several MRV metrics are missing, and there are contradictions between documents. Documentation is moderate in quantity and recent but extraction confidence is only medium.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-3340
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	Kenya
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	VM0042 1.0
Crediting Period	2019 — 2039
VVB	Earthood Services Limited
Monitoring Period	2019 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	11 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

Red Flags

- Leakage treatment is either not addressed or contradicted across documents.
- Reversal events and permanence are not addressed in available documents.
- Key MRV figures (ERR/total ER claimed/verified, usage monitoring) are missing.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.5 / 10

- + additionality confirmed by the VVB in the validation report (barrier test; validation_report, 2025-07-01)
- leakage not addressed in the validation report and reversal risk not documented (validation_report, 2025-07-01)

Additionality is supported: the validation report documents a barrier test and confirms additionality (validation_report, 2025-07-01). A 15% buffer pool is specified which supports permanence. However, leakage treatment is reported as not addressed in the validation report and reversal events are not documented, which are material weaknesses for an AFOLU soil-carbon project. The baseline is project-specific and not shown to have been recently reassessed, weakening baseline robustness.

Transparency — 4.0 / 10

- + VVB named (Earthood Services Limited) and monitoring period provided (monitoring report period stated in extracted record)
- core MRV values missing: total emissions removals reported/verified and usage monitoring method not stated in available documents

Transparency is partial: the VVB is named (Earthood Services Limited) and a monitoring period is provided (2019-04-01 — 2022-03-01), and the validation report is recent (2025-07-01). However, key MRV metrics such as total emissions/removals claimed and verified, usage monitoring method, and error/uncertainty figures are not found in the extracted record, reducing verifiability.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + 15% buffer pool is specified (validation report), which provides some reversal protection
- project uses a project-specific baseline that has not been reassessed and leakage justification is inconsistent—raising over-crediting risk (PDD and validation report contradictions)

There is a moderate risk of over-claiming. The project uses a project-specific baseline without evidence of recent reassessment, and leakage justification is either absent or contradicted by another document. The buffer pool helps reduce reversal risk, but unaddressed reversal events and missing MRV figures leave claim safety uncertain. CORSIA/CCP double-claim status is not stated, so double-claim risk cannot be ruled out.

Documentation — 5.5 / 10

- + multiple documents used and a recent validation report dated 2025-07-01 (evidence_docs include PDD and validation_report)
- extraction confidence is only medium and one referenced document is unknown, creating uncertainty about completeness

Documentation is moderate: ten documents were used and a recent validation report (2025) is available, and safeguards (FPIC and grievance mechanism) and benefit sharing are described. Extraction confidence is medium and one evidence document is listed as 'unknown', indicating gaps in provenance and lowering confidence in completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed
● Permanence	buffer present but reversals unaddressed
● Leakage	not addressed / contradictory
● Baseline	project baseline, not reassessed
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance present
● Double-claim	status unknown (CORSIA/CCP not stated)

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a clear, documented leakage assessment and any quantified leakage deduction or justification in a verifiable report.
- Publish monitoring report details including total removals claimed and verified, monitoring methods for usage, uncertainty/error figures, and a reassessment of the baseline.

Documents Reviewed

- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Registration Review Report
- Registration Representation
- Non-permanence risk report
- 3340_Kenyagrasslands.kml
- Draft Project Description
- Listing Representation
- Project Description
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-9A046EAD · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy