

QUALITY REPORT

Methane Recovery Project Princepeel Wilbertoord, North Brabant, The Netherlands

VCS-337 · VCS · Netherlands

Report ID: CM-AF5C0ABE · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.7 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.7

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS methane recovery project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported leakage deduction, which supports moderate integrity. However, the record contains multiple material documentation gaps and several contradictions, especially on crediting period, safeguards, and claimed emissions, which reduce confidence in the reported results.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-337
Sector	other
Country	Netherlands
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	AMS-III.AO, AMS-III.D, AMS-I.C 1.0, 21.0, 21.0
Crediting Period	2007 — 2027
VVB	KBS Certification Services Ltd.
Verified ERs	21,119 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	40 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification record lists multiple material findings and corrective actions, including missing stakeholder communication, unclear ex-post ER calculation, and incomplete monitoring information.
- There is a major contradiction in reported emissions reductions: earlier documents show 89,415 tCO₂e while the later issuance record shows 21,119 tCO₂e, and the later verified figure was privileged because it is the most recent issuance document.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a barrier test rather than relying only on an unverified assertion.

- The verification report lists several unresolved issues, and reversal handling is not clearly addressed even though the project record says reversal events are not applicable.

The project has some positive integrity signals: the VVB confirmed additionality, the project uses a barrier test, and leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction. Still, the verification report lists several material findings and corrective actions, including unclear ex-post ER calculation, missing monitoring information, and issues with transport-emissions calculation, which weaken confidence in the credited reductions.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The monitoring period and VVB name are stated, and the issuance record reports claimed and verified reductions of 21,119 tCO₂e.

- Key MRV details are missing or not stated in available documents, including the usage monitoring method and several calibration and calculation details flagged by the VVB.

Transparency is only moderate because the record identifies the VVB, monitoring period, and verified issuance quantity, but several core MRV elements are missing from the extracted documents. The VVB also asked for calibration details, clearer project description, and better evidence of stakeholder communication, showing that the public record is incomplete.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers dual-market claim risk.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than a clearly standardized or recently reassessed jurisdictional baseline, and the large emissions-reduction contradiction weakens confidence in the claim.

Claim safety is constrained by the project-specific baseline and by unresolved documentation issues around leakage and monitoring. The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible, which helps, but the large discrepancy between earlier and later emissions-reduction figures raises over-crediting and reliability concerns.

Documentation — 3.7 / 10

+ A relatively large set of documents was used, and the latest issuance record is dated 2024-12-16.

- Extraction confidence is low, and the VVB explicitly requested multiple corrective actions for missing or unclear information, indicating incomplete documentation quality.

Documentation quality is weak to moderate: many documents were used, but extraction confidence is low and the VVB identified numerous missing or unclear items. The latest issuance record is recent, yet the presence of multiple corrective actions and inconsistent historical values suggests the file set is not fully robust.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed barrier test
● Permanence	No reversal risk clearly evidenced
● Leakage	Negligible leakage with 0% deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing unclear
● Safeguards	Safeguards present but inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish a complete, internally consistent monitoring and verification package that resolves the emissions-reduction discrepancy and clearly explains the calculation methodology.
- Provide full MRV evidence, including calibration records, stakeholder communication, deviation assessment, and a clearer explanation of how leakage, baseline, and reversal considerations were handled.

Documents Reviewed

- Deed_Issuance_Princepeel_ID 337_sign.pdf
- VCS 337 Issuance representation Princepeel.pdf
- VCS Issuance Representation Single Representor v3 3.pdf landgoed.pdf
- PRR_ISS2_337_09APR2018.pdf
- VCS-Issuance-Representation-Princepeel-sign.pdf
- VCS_V1_PRR_337_21Feb2023.pdf
- VCS_337_PRR R2_13 12 2024.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_337_03MAR2010.pdf
- 20180328_GES_Biogas_VCS_Monitoring_Landgoed_Princepeel_ver02_VCS_Revision_ver02.pdf
- VCS Monitoring Report_Princepeel_v003_2008-2011.pdf
- VCS Monitoring Report_Princepeel_v003_2013-2017.pdf
- 337_VCS MR_Princepeel_v04_2017-2021_clean.pdf
- MONIT_REP_337_01JUN2007_31DEC2007.pdf
- VCS_337_MR Clean_Princepeel_13 12 2024.pdf
- PPRP Database Placeholder Template.doc
- OTHER_337_Correspondence re national inventory.pdf
- Princepeel_PDD_Addendum_VCS.PDF
- Registration Representation
- 334-338, GES Energie GmbH, Exemption Approval.pdf
- 337_App A - VCS_ER_Princepeel_24 09 2024.xlsx
- A_070_Princepeel_FINAL_DeterminationProtocol_27082007.pdf
- 337 Representation Registration.pdf
- Communication agreement Princepeel ID337 signed v2.pdf
- Communication agreement Princepeel ID337 signed.pdf
- VCS PD_Renewal CP_Princepeel_ID 337_v04_Clean.pdf
- A_070_Princepeel_FINAL_PDD_18082007.pdf
- VCS_337 Princepeel Project_Verification_Representation.pdf
- Deed of representation ID 337.pdf
- VCS_337 Princepeel Project_Verification_Representation 25032021.pdf
- VALID REP 337 31JUL2007.pdf
- CCIPL 1006-FVR VCS 337 (1).pdf
- DEED Verification-Representation_PRINCEPEEL 337.pdf
- Princepeel_Verification_representation.pdf
- Final_Princepeel_VerReport_Update20180322.pdf
- CCIPL 1006 VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS_337_FVR Clean_Princepeel_13 12 2024.pdf
- 337_CCIPL 1006 FVR v5.0.pdf
- VERIF_REP_337_24FEB2009_25MAY2009.pdf
- VCS 337 Princepeel Project Verification Report v3.pdf
- VCS 337 Princepeel Project VR 2008_2011 v2.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-AF5C0ABE · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy