

QUALITY REPORT

Recycling Roadways for Carbon Emission Reductions - Midstate Reclamation and Trucking

VCS-3616 · VCS · United States

Report ID: CM-A0904A18 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.9Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.4
■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and no material findings reported, but key risk controls are weakly documented. Leakage and reversal treatment are not fully robust in the extracted record, and several important MRV fields are missing or not stated. Overall, this looks like a moderate-quality file with meaningful evidence gaps rather than a high-confidence, low-risk crediting case.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-3616
Sector	other
Country	United States
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	VM0039 1.1
Crediting Period	2021 — 2031
VVB	SustainCert
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	17 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across extracted records, with one version saying it was deemed negligible and another saying it was not addressed.
- Reversal risk is not clearly resolved, and the extracted record does not show a buffer pool or detailed reversal handling.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project used a combined additionality test under VM0039.
- Leakage and reversal treatment are weakly evidenced: leakage is only described as deemed negligible, while reversal events are marked as not addressed.

The monitoring report confirms additionality was verified by SustainCert using a combined test, which supports the project's core crediting logic. However, leakage is only described as deemed negligible, with no quantified deduction, and reversal handling is not addressed in the extracted record. No material findings were reported, but the presence of multiple corrective and clarification requests weakens confidence in the robustness of the integrity case.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated, and the verification report identifies SustainCert as the VVB.
- Key MRV fields are not stated in available documents, including total ERs claimed versus verified, usage monitoring method, and any quantified leakage deduction.

Transparency is limited by missing or unstated MRV details. The monitoring period is clearly identified and the VVB is named, but the extracted record does not provide total ERs claimed versus verified, the usage monitoring method, or any quantified leakage deduction. The absence of these figures makes it harder to independently assess issuance quality.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

- + The project uses a project baseline under VM0039, which is at least methodologically specific.
- No verified usage-rate, leakage deduction, or CORSIA/CCP status is available, which leaves over-crediting and claim-risk questions unresolved.

Claim safety is middling because the project has a specific methodology and a project baseline, but several key over-crediting checks are unavailable. There is no verified usage-rate information, no leakage deduction, and no CORSIA or CCP status in the extracted record. That leaves residual uncertainty about whether the credited reductions are conservative enough for strong external claims.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

- + The record includes a monitoring report dated 2025-10-23 and references 16 extracted documents, suggesting a reasonably broad evidence base.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the monitoring report lists 3 Corrective Action Requests and 8 Clarification Requests.

The documentation base is broader than a single file, with 16 documents used and a recent monitoring report dated 2025-10-23. Even so, extraction confidence is only medium, and the report records 3 Corrective Action Requests plus 8 Clarification Requests. The crediting period is long and current, but the missing buffer pool, reversal detail, and several MRV fields indicate incomplete documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed combined test
● Permanence	reversal handling unclear
● Leakage	negligible claim without quantified deduction
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	grievance mechanism present, FPIC not found
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a quantified leakage assessment and a clear explanation of why leakage is negligible for this project type.

→ Disclose reversal risk treatment, including whether any buffer pool applies and how permanence is monitored over time.

Documents Reviewed

- VCS_R2_PRR_3616_04 Jan 2024.pdf
- Issuance Representation_3616_2025-08-12.pdf
- vcs-issuance-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final.pdf
- VCS_V_PRR_ID3616_27OCT2025_.pdf
- 2023-10-12_Midstate PDMR_v4.2_Final_Clean.pdf
- VCS MR PROJECT 3616 26SEP2021-31DEC2024 v4.0.pdf
- Midstate - 2021 CIR Project Locations.kml
- vcs-registration-representation-single-representor-v4.2-final.pdf
- VCS Listing Representation_signed-v2.pdf
- VCS 3616 Emission Reduction Worksheet_v4.0.xlsx
- VCS_PROJ_DESC_PCP_3616_19OCT2022.pdf
- 2022-07-28_Draft Midstate Project Description and Monitoring Report_v1.pdf
- VCS VerR Project3616 26092021 - 31122024 v02-Clean.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1 RecRoadway.pdf
- VCS_Roadway_ValidationVerificationReport_V3-0_PRR Response 122223.pdf
- VCS-Validation-Representation-v4.1 Rec Roadway.pdf
- Verification Representation_3616_2025-08-12.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A0904A18 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy