


QUALITY REPORT

7.5 MW Waste-to-Energy Power Plant by Goodwatts WTE Jamnagar Private Limited in Gujarat, India

VCS-4564 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-C63DC8CB · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has some strengths, including VVB-confirmed additionality, no reported material findings, and documented safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, the record has important evidence gaps around leakage, permanence, and public MRV detail, and the extraction quality is low, which reduces confidence in the documentation.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-4564
Sector	industrial
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	AMS III.E, AMS I.D 17.0, 18.0
Crediting Period	2021 — 2028
VVB	LGAI Technological Center S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2028
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	11 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the validation report, despite a zero deduction being shown elsewhere in the record.
- The project has a contradiction on additionality between the PDD and the later validation report, and the extraction confidence is low.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.1 / 10

- + The validation report dated 2024-08-07 confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB verified it.
- Leakage justification is recorded as not addressed, and buffer pool coverage or reversal handling is not stated in the available documents.

The validation report dated 2024-08-07 confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB verified it, which is a meaningful positive. At the same time, leakage is not addressed in the validation report, buffer pool coverage is not found, and reversal information is not stated, so permanence and leakage robustness remain weakly evidenced. No material findings or corrective actions were reported, which helps, but the overall integrity score is held back by the missing treatment of key risk areas.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The validation report identifies the VVB as LGAI Technological Center S.A. (Applus+ Certification) and gives a clear monitoring period from 2021-11-15 to 2028-11-14.
- Claimed versus verified emission reductions are not available in the extracted record, and the monitoring or usage monitoring method is not stated.

The documentation identifies the VVB and provides the monitoring and crediting period, which supports basic traceability. However, the extracted record does not include claimed versus verified emission reductions, the monitoring method, or usage monitoring details, so MRV transparency is limited. The low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that the public record is complete.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

- + The project uses a project baseline and the validation report shows no material findings, which supports some claim reliability.
- Leakage treatment is weakly documented, and CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, leaving residual double-claim and over-crediting uncertainty.

The project appears to use a project-specific baseline, and the validation report reports no material findings, which is supportive but not sufficient on its own. Leakage is a concern because the validation report says it is not addressed, even though another part of the record shows a zero deduction. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so the project cannot be treated as clearly insulated from double-claim risk.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

- + Two core documents are listed in evidence and the validation report is recent, dated 2024-08-07.
- The extraction confidence is low, several key fields are not stated, and the record includes an unresolved contradiction on additionality.

The evidence set includes the PDD and validation report, and the validation report is recent, which is a positive sign. But the extraction confidence is low, several important fields are not stated, and the record contains a contradiction on additionality between the PDD and the later validation report. That combination points to moderate documentation quality rather than strong completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal evidence, but buffer not stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed clearly
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear leakage assessment and reconcile the zero deduction with the validation report's statement that leakage is not addressed.

→ Provide a complete MRV package with verified versus claimed issuance, monitoring methodology, and explicit reversal/buffer pool treatment.

Documents Reviewed

- Registration Representation
- Registration Review Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Communications Agreement
- Listing Representation
- Project Description
- Draft Project Description
- Validation Representation
- Validation Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-C63DC8CB · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy