


QUALITY REPORT

Project Reignite: Turning Farm Waste to Climate Action

VCS-4679 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-46EA3BED · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

Project shows some strengths: additionality was confirmed by the VVB and monitoring verified the claimed removals. However, key integrity gaps remain — no baseline method or leakage treatment is documented and CCP approval raises double-claim risk. Documentation is otherwise reasonably complete and recent.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-4679
Sector	biomass
Country	India
Vintage	2023
Project Methodology	VM0044 1.1
Crediting Period	2023 — 2030
VVB	RINA S.p.A (RINA)
Verified ERs	115,103 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	25 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

Red Flags

- Leakage not addressed in available documents
- No baseline method or reassessment documented
- Project is CCP-approved (double-claim risk)

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ additionality confirmed by the VVB via an investment test (validation report, 2026)

- no baseline method stated and leakage not addressed in monitoring report (monitoring report, 2024)

Additionality is confirmed by the VVB using an investment test (validation report). Reversals are reported as 'none reported' and no material findings or corrective actions were listed in the monitoring report (2024-08-28). However, the project lacks a stated baseline method and has not documented leakage treatment or a buffer percentage (monitoring report and PDD extracts), which are material weaknesses for baseline validity and leakage risk. These gaps lower the integrity score.

Transparency — 6.8 / 10

+ monitoring period and verified vs claimed removals provided (monitoring report, 2024) with total removals verified = 115,103 tCO₂e

~ some standard baseline inputs (grid emission factor, buffer pool percentage) not stated in available documents

The monitoring report provides a clear monitoring period (2023-10-16 — 2024-06-30) and the total removals claimed equal the total removals verified (115,103 tCO₂e), which supports transparency. The VVB name (RINA) and registry (VCS) are stated and many documents are available (n=21). However, important parameters (grid emission factor, buffer pool percentage, and some usage monitoring details) are not stated in the extracted record, reducing transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.5 / 10

- CCP approval recorded (validation report, 2026) — increases risk of double-claiming

~ CORSIA eligibility listed as false in the extracted record but contradictory evidence exists, reducing confidence

The project is recorded as CCP-approved in the validation report, which creates a higher risk of double-claiming; this is a material claim-safety concern. CORSIA eligibility is listed as false in the merged facts, but contradictions exist (see overall contradictions), so confidence is reduced. Lack of leakage treatment and absence of a baseline method also raise over-crediting risk.

Documentation — 7.5 / 10

+ 21 documents listed and named evidence docs include monitoring, validation, PDD and issuance; min extraction confidence is high

~ contradictions between monitoring and validation documents on safeguards, grievance mechanism, FPIC and benefit sharing reduce reliability

Documentation appears relatively complete: monitoring report (2024-08-28), PDD, validation report, issuance record and 21 documents in total are listed, and minimum extraction confidence is high. There are no material findings or corrective actions in the monitoring report. However, the record contains multiple contradictions between documents (monitoring vs validation), which reduces the effective reliability of the documentation.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed
● Permanence	no buffer info
● Leakage	not addressed
● Baseline	method not stated
● Safeguards	inconsistently documented
● Double-claim	CCP-approved

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish and cite the baseline method and any reassessment documentation (including grid emission factor inputs).

→ Provide an explicit leakage assessment and any leakage deduction or justification; reconcile monitoring and validation records on safeguards, grievance mechanism, FPIC and benefit-sharing.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Review Report
- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Requantification and Reconciliation Representation
- VCS Requantification Notification
- VCS Requantification Report
- Registration Review Report
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Listing Representation
- Draft Project Description
- Validation Representation
- Verification Report
- VCS Requantification Verification Report
- Validation Report
- Verification Representation
- Requantification Verification Representation

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-46EA3BED · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy