

QUALITY REPORT

15 MW Grid Connected Renewable Energy Wind Turbine In Karnataka

VCS-619 · VCS · India

Report ID: CM-BE1A23E0 · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
■ Transparency (25%)	5.0
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
■ Documentation (15%)	4.5

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some verified additionality and a named VVB, but multiple corrective action requests, missing leakage treatment, and contradictions in reported emission reductions reduce confidence. Documentation exists across many documents, but key supporting evidence (PPA, commissioning certificate, leakage justification, buffer) is missing or inconsistent.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-619
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	AMS I.D Version 13
Crediting Period	2006 — 2016
VVB	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH
Verified ERs	79,013 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2013 — 2019
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	17 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

Red Flags

- Contradictory total emission reduction figures: 89,235 vs 79,013 across documents
- Leakage not addressed in latest monitoring report and no buffer/reversal provisions documented
- Numerous corrective action requests requiring missing core documents (PPA, commissioning certificate, calibration records)

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- leakage not addressed in the latest monitoring report (monitoring report 2021-06-03)
- + additionality confirmed by the VVB (validation report 2009-11-10 / validation evidence)

Additionality is presented and was confirmed by the VVB (validation evidence). The project uses a project-specific baseline under AMS I.D (methodology Version 13), but the baseline was not reassessed in the record. No buffer pool percentage or reversal events are documented in available files, and leakage is explicitly 'not addressed' in the latest monitoring report (2021-06-03). The absence of leakage treatment and missing reversal/ buffer information, combined with multiple corrective action requests for core monitoring evidence, lowers the integrity score.

Transparency — 5.0 / 10

- + VVB named (TÜV NORD CERT GmbH) and monitoring period provided (2013–2019) in monitoring report
- monitoring report and validation/issuance contain contradictory emission reduction totals (79,013 vs 89,235), reducing data reliability

A named VVB (TÜV NORD CERT GmbH), a clear monitoring period (2013-01-01 — 2019-05-31), and issuance documents are present. Extraction confidence is high and 17 documents were used. However, key data are inconsistent: emitted reductions are reported as 89,235 in earlier validation documents and 79,013 in later issuance/monitoring, and several monitoring details are missing per corrective actions, reducing transparency and traceability.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- baseline emission factor is old (grid EF year 2008) and baseline not reassessed in available records
- ~ project is not CORSIA-eligible (monitoring report) which lowers double-claim risk, but CCP status is not stated

The project is reported as not CORSIA-eligible (monitoring report) which reduces double-claim risk, but CCP status is not stated. The grid emission factor used is from 2008 and the baseline has not been reassessed, increasing over-crediting risk. Contradictory ERR figures (89,235 vs 79,013) further raise concern about accurate crediting.

Documentation — 4.5 / 10

- + 17 documents extracted and a 2021 monitoring report and 2021 issuance exist (recent evidence available)
- multiple corrective actions remain (CAR 02–CAR 07) requesting missing PPA, commissioning certificate, calibration records, and monitoring breakdowns

Documentation coverage is mixed: evidence includes PDD, validation, monitoring report, and issuance with high extraction confidence and recent dates (monitoring report 2021, issuance 2021). Nevertheless, many corrective action requests remain open (CAR 02–CAR 07) asking for missing PPA, O&M; agreement, commissioning certificate, declaration on double counting, calibration certificates, and detailed monitoring breakdowns, indicating important gaps.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	additionality present but inconsistent
● Permanence	no buffer/reversal info
● Leakage	leakage not addressed
● Baseline	project baseline, not reassessed
● Safeguards	FPIC/grievance claimed but documentation inconsistent
● Double-claim	CORSIA no, CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the missing supporting documents requested in CAR 02–CAR 07 (PPA, commissioning certificate, O&M, calibration certificates, monitoring breakdown) and demonstrate closure of CARs in a follow-up verification.

→ Publish a clear leakage assessment or apply a quantified leakage deduction and document buffer/reversal provisions; reassess the baseline or justify continued use of the 2008 grid EF with supporting analysis.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- Communications Agreement
- Project Description
- Validation Report
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation
- Validation Representation

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-BE1A23E0 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy