QUALITY REPORT

Shibeishan Wind Power Generation Project In Huilai County, Guangdong Province

VCS-725 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-ED07D032 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.6 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	6.6
	Transparency (25%)	6.2
	Claim Safety (25%)	6.8
	Documentation (15%)	7.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a VCS wind power project using ACM0002 with emissions reductions fully matched between claimed and verified totals for the monitoring period. Additionality is stated as investment-test based and confirmed by the VVB, but baseline and leakage treatment are only partially evidenced and contain document inconsistencies. Social safeguard-related statements are inconsistent across documents, increasing reputational and claim-risk despite CORSIA ineligibility.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-725
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 6
Crediting Period	2008 — 2015
VVB	Xiaobin
Verified ERs	270,648 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2006 — 2008
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	9 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

■ Leakage is inconsistently treated across documents (monitoring report deems it negligible, while the validation report indicates it was not addressed).

■ Safeguards/FPIC/grievance and benefit-sharing statements conflict between the PDD/validation report and the monitoring report, undermining reliability of non-carbon claims.

■ Crediting period dates conflict between the PDD and validation report, creating uncertainty about the exact crediting timeline.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.6 / 10

+ The validation/verification record indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test.

- Baseline is project-specific and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in the extracted record.

The project applies ACM0002 (version 6) and reports a grid emission factor of 0.8913, consistent with a grid-displacement baseline approach, but the baseline is project-specific and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in the extracted record. The validation/verification record indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test. Leakage is not robustly evidenced: the monitoring report (2008-09-03) deems leakage negligible, but the validation report (2008-11-19) indicates leakage was not addressed, which weakens confidence in completeness of the accounting.

Transparency — 6.2 / 10

+ Claimed and verified emissions reductions match (270,648 tCO2e) for the stated monitoring period.

- Key MRV/context fields are missing or unclear in the extracted record (e.g., grid EF year, leakage deduction percentage, and usage monitoring method).

The monitoring report (2008-09-03) provides a clear monitoring period (2006-03-31 to 2008-08-30) and the total emissions reductions claimed equal the total verified (270,648 tCO2e), which supports MRV consistency. However, several important fields are not stated in the extracted record, including the grid emission factor year, any explicit leakage deduction percentage, and the usage monitoring method. These gaps limit independent replication of key parameters even though the headline ERR figures reconcile.

Claim Safety — 6.8 / 10

+ The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, reducing aviation-claim and double-claim channel risk.

- Contradictions on leakage and safeguards increase greenwashing/over-crediting perception risk even if the carbon accounting is broadly standard for ACM0002.

The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers the risk of dual-channel marketing claims tied to CORSIA. Over-crediting risk is moderated by use of a widely used renewable methodology (ACM0002), but the leakage treatment is inconsistent between the monitoring report (negligible) and validation report (not addressed), which increases perceived claim risk. CCP status is not stated in the extracted record, so buyers cannot rely on CCP-aligned screening from the available information.

Documentation — 7.1 / 10

+ Multiple core documents are present (PDD, validation report, monitoring report) with high extraction confidence and no material findings/corrective actions reported.

- Several cross-document inconsistencies (crediting period, leakage, safeguards) reduce confidence in the overall record coherence.

The extracted record includes core document types (PDD, validation report, monitoring report) and indicates high extraction confidence, supporting document completeness. No material findings or corrective actions are reported, which is a positive signal for audit outcomes. Nonetheless, multiple contradictions across documents (including crediting period and safeguards-related statements) reduce confidence in the internal consistency of the documentation set.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	Investment test confirmed by VVB
Permanence	Avoidance project; no reversal risk indicated
Leakage	Leakage treatment inconsistent across documents
Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing unclear
Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance inconsistently documented
Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, consistent leakage section across validation and monitoring documents, including an explicit leakage deduction (even if 0%) and justification aligned to ACM0002 requirements.

→ Resolve and publicly clarify the official crediting period dates and reconcile safeguard-related statements (FPIC, grievance mechanism, benefit sharing) with consistent evidence (consultation records, grievance procedure, outcomes).

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Validation Report
- Verification Report
- Validation Representation
- Verification Representation

Report ID: CM-ED07D032 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy