QUALITY REPORT

Cecic Gansu Yumen Changma No.3 Wind Farm Project

VCS-728 · VCS · China

Report ID: CM-423E08D4 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.3

Overall Score

out of 10

Integrity (35%)	6.1
Transparency (25%)	6.4
Claim Safety (25%)	6.0
Documentation (15%)	7.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This VCS wind project uses a standard grid-connected renewable methodology (ACM0002) and has VVB-confirmed additionality, which supports basic integrity. However, multiple cross-document inconsistencies (credited volumes, grid emission factor, and crediting period) and weak/variable leakage and safeguards disclosure increase over-crediting and reliability risk.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-728
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 20.0
Crediting Period	2011 — 2031
VVB	CTI Certification Co., Ltd.
Verified ERs	457,367 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	44 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

■ Large inconsistencies in reported/verified emission reductions across documents (e.g., claimed 423,999 vs 109,629; verified 457,367 vs 348,411), raising data reliability concerns.

■ Grid emission factor differs materially between validation reports (0.779325 vs 0.918), which can significantly change credited reductions.

■ Leakage treatment is inconsistent over time (earlier monitoring report says quantified; latest monitoring report does not address leakage while still applying 0% deduction).

■ Crediting period start date is inconsistent across monitoring reports (2011 vs 2021), creating uncertainty about eligibility/vintage boundaries.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ Additionality is confirmed by the VVB using an investment test (validation report).

- Baseline is project-specific and baseline reassessment timing is not stated in the extracted record, alongside material inconsistencies in key quantification inputs (validation/monitoring documents).

The project applies ACM0002 for grid-connected wind and additionality is confirmed by the VVB via an investment test (validation report). The baseline is project-specific and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in the extracted record, which weakens robustness for a long crediting period. Leakage is applied as a 0% deduction, but the latest monitoring report (2024) does not address leakage, creating uncertainty about whether leakage was properly evaluated. No material findings are reported, but the presence of multiple corrective action requests in the monitoring report (2024) suggests monitoring/quantification weaknesses that can affect integrity.

Transparency — 6.4 / 10

+ VVB is identified (CTI Certification Co., Ltd.) and a recent monitoring period is stated (2022-01-01 to 2023-04-30) in the monitoring report (2024).

- Corrective actions in the monitoring report (2024) request missing/unclear monitoring parameter disclosures and SD contribution evidence, indicating MRV transparency gaps.

Key project attributes are disclosed, including the VVB (CTI Certification Co., Ltd.) and the monitoring period (2022-01-01 to 2023-04-30) in the monitoring report (2024). However, the monitoring report (2024) includes corrective actions requesting additional monitoring parameter information (e.g., electricity generation/export parameters) and clarification of deviations, indicating that the MRV narrative and parameter reporting were not fully complete at submission. In addition, inconsistencies across documents in ER totals and grid emission factor reduce transparency because users cannot easily reconcile the public record.

Claim Safety — 6.0 / 10

+ The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, reducing aviation-claim channel risk.

- Over-crediting risk is elevated by contradictory ER totals and a materially different grid emission factor across validation reports (2021 vs 2024).

The project is marked as not CORSIA-eligible in the extracted record, which lowers the risk of high-impact aviation claims. Nonetheless, claim safety is constrained by over-crediting risk signals: the grid emission factor differs between validation reports (0.918 in 2021 vs 0.779325 in 2024), and ER totals vary substantially across documents, both of which can materially change credited volumes. CCP status is not stated in the extracted record, leaving uncertainty about alignment with higher-integrity claim frameworks.

Documentation — 7.2 / 10

+ A relatively large document set was used (27) including PDD, monitoring report, validation report, and issuance records; extraction confidence is high.

- The monitoring report (2024) includes multiple corrective action requests, suggesting incomplete or inconsistent documentation of monitoring and SD contributions.

The extracted record indicates broad documentation coverage (27 documents) including PDD, monitoring report, validation report, issuance, and high extraction confidence. However, the monitoring report (2024-05-20) contains multiple corrective action requests to supplement sustainable development contribution tables/evidence and to provide/clarify monitoring parameters and deviations, implying documentation incompleteness at the monitoring stage. Buffer pool and reversal information are not stated in the extracted record (less relevant for an avoidance wind project, but still a completeness gap).

Risk Indicators

● **Additionality**	VVB-confirmed investment test
● **Permanence**	Avoidance project; no reversal events stated
● **Leakage**	0% deduction with inconsistent justification
● **Baseline**	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing unclear
● **Safeguards**	Safeguards/FPIC reported but inconsistent over time
● **Double-claim**	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a reconciled ER accounting table linking monitoring-period generation, grid emission factor source/year, verification outcome, and issued VCUs to eliminate contradictions in ER totals and inputs.

→ Provide a clear leakage assessment consistent with ACM0002 requirements (even if negligible) and include complete monitoring parameter disclosures and deviation explanations as requested in the monitoring report corrective actions.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- Communications Agreement
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Verification Representation
- Verification Report
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-423E08D4 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy