

QUALITY REPORT

BATISOKE Soke Çimento Sanayii T.A.S. 5.5 MW Cement WHR Project

VCS-816 · VCS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-A1CCBA69 · Generated: 2026-04-15 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a registered VCS waste-heat recovery project with VVB-confirmed investment-test additionality and no reported reversals, which supports moderate integrity. However, leakage treatment is weakly justified, usage monitoring is not stated, and there are document contradictions on leakage, safeguards, and the crediting period that reduce confidence in the claim.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-816
Sector	other
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0012 04.0.0
Crediting Period	2013 — 2023
VVB	Rina
Verified ERs	67,780 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2013 — 2019
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	12 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-15

Red Flags

- Leakage is recorded as not addressed in the monitoring report, even though the validation report reportedly quantified it.
- The project is not CORSIA-eligible, but CCP status is not stated and the record contains contradictions on safeguards and crediting period.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

- + Additionality was tested using an investment test and was confirmed by the VVB in the monitoring report.
- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the monitoring report, while the contradiction log says the validation report quantified it.

The monitoring report states that additionality was established through an investment test and that the VVB, Rina, confirmed it. Integrity is helped by the statement that no reversal events were reported, but the absence of a buffer pool value and the lack of a clear leakage treatment weaken the overall robustness. The project uses a project baseline, but the baseline was not shown to have been recently reassessed.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

- + The monitoring report provides a clear monitoring period, total claimed and verified ERs match at 67,780, and the VVB is identified as Rina.
- Usage monitoring is not stated, and the record does not show a grid emission factor year or a baseline reassessment date.

Transparency is moderate because the monitoring report gives a defined monitoring period and shows total claimed and verified reductions both at 67,780. However, usage monitoring is not stated in the extracted record, and the grid emission factor year is missing. The documentation is also less complete because the baseline reassessment date is not found in available documents.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

- + Claimed and verified emission reductions are identical at 67,780, which reduces immediate over-issuance concern.
- Leakage justification is weak, and the project is not CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is not stated.

Claim safety is constrained by the weak leakage treatment: the monitoring report says leakage is not addressed, even though the contradiction log says the validation report quantified it. The project is not CORSIA-eligible, which lowers dual-market claim risk, but CCP status is not stated. Matching claimed and verified ER totals is positive, yet it does not fully offset the uncertainty around leakage and safeguards.

Documentation — 6.0 / 10

- + Multiple evidence documents were used, including validation, monitoring, PDD, and issuance records, with high extraction confidence.
- The record still leaves several fields unstated, including usage monitoring and buffer pool coverage, and the contradiction log shows unresolved document inconsistencies.

Documentation quality is fair because multiple official documents were used and extraction confidence is high. The record includes validation, monitoring, PDD, and issuance materials, and there were no material findings or corrective actions required in the monitoring report. Still, several important items are not stated, including buffer pool coverage, usage monitoring, and baseline reassessment timing.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversals reported
● Leakage	Leakage treatment inconsistent
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	Partial safeguards evidence
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible; CCP not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a clear, consistent leakage assessment across validation and monitoring documents, including the basis for any deduction.
- Disclose usage monitoring details, buffer pool treatment, and a reconciled explanation of the crediting-period and safeguards contradictions.

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Monitoring Report
- Registration Representation
- Communications Agreement
- Project Description
- Verification Report
- Validation Representation
- Validation Report
- Verification Representation

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A1CCBA69 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-15 · Generated: 2026-04-15
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy