

QUALITY REPORT

Madre De Dios Amazon REDD+ Project

VCS-844 · VCS · Peru

Report ID: CM-22A8382A · Generated: 2026-04-22 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	6.0
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.5
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has formal VVB verification, a defined buffer pool and a recent monitoring report, but significant data reliability issues and corrective actions were flagged. Contradictions in issued emission reduction figures and monitoring data gaps raise concerns about overcrediting and calculation integrity.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-844
Sector	redd
Country	Peru
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	VM0007 1.6
Crediting Period	2009 — 2046
VVB	SCS Global Services
Verified ERs	984,636 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2020
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	76 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-22

Red Flags

- Inconsistent total emission reductions reported (510,569 vs 984,636) across validation reports
- Implausible leakage deduction of 307% in the extracted record indicating a calculation or reporting error
- Multiple corrective action requests in the monitoring report indicating incomplete or inconsistent monitoring data

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

+ Additionality confirmed by the VVB (validation report, 2021-08-19).

- Monitoring report lists multiple corrective actions including misaligned GIS areas and incomplete tables (monitoring report, 2021-08-17).

The project uses the VM0007 methodology and additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the validation report (2021-08-19), and a 10% buffer pool is present. However, the monitoring report (2021-08-17) lists many corrective actions including mismatched GIS areas and empty tables, and the baseline reassessment date is not stated. The implausible leakage deduction of 307% and contradictions in claimed emission reductions undermine confidence in baseline and leakage calculations.

Transparency — 6.0 / 10

+ Recent monitoring period reported (2020) and verification by SCS Global Services (monitoring report, validation report).

- Key parameters absent from available extracts (grid emission factor and CPP/CORSIA status not stated).

Verification was conducted by SCS Global Services and a recent monitoring period (2020) is reported, and the registry is VCS. Evidence includes a monitoring report, validation report, PDD and issuance records across 92 documents with high extraction confidence. Nevertheless, several important inputs (grid emission factor, CORSIA/CCP status, usage monitoring method) are not stated in the extracted record, reducing transparency.

Claim Safety — 3.5 / 10

- Contradictory ERR totals between older and newer validation reports (510,569 in 2016 vs 984,636 in 2021), increasing over-crediting risk.

- Leakage is described as quantified but shows an implausible 307% deduction in the extracted record, indicating unreliable calculations (monitoring report).

There is elevated risk of over-crediting: the validation records show contradictory total emission reduction figures (510,569 reported in 2016 vs 984,636 in 2021), and the monitoring extract shows a leakage deduction of 307%, which is implausible and indicates calculation or reporting errors. CORSIA/CCP eligibility is not stated, so double-claim risk cannot be ruled out.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ Large document set used (92 documents) and high extraction confidence.

- Monitoring report required several corrective actions and contains incomplete tables and inconsistencies (monitoring report, 2021-08-17).

Documentation breadth is strong (92 documents, evidence from PDD, monitoring and validation reports) and extraction confidence is high. However, the monitoring report (2021-08-17) required multiple corrective actions (CAR 01/14–CAR 09/14) for missing/incorrect entries and GIS inconsistencies, lowering the documentation quality score.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB■confirmed
● Permanence	buffer present, some uncertainty
● Leakage	implausible deduction
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment unclear
● Safeguards	mentioned but inconsistent across docs
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Resolve the contradictory ERR figures by publishing a reconciled, audited issuance table and corrected monitoring calculations.
- Correct the leakage calculation and provide transparent, line■by■line MRV inputs (GIS area reconciliation, grid EF, usage monitoring) and close all corrective actions reported in the monitoring report.

Documents Reviewed

- PP_ISS_REP_844_01JAN2016_31DEC2016.pdf
- VCS Issuance Deed of Representation.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_844_01JAN2013_31DEC2013.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_844_01JAN2017_31DEC2017.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_844_01JAN2009_31DEC2012.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2018.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- PP_ISS_REP_844_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- Issuance Representation signed November 2020.pdf
- V8_844_PRR_16DEC2020.pdf
- CCB_IMP_REP_ENG_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2018.pdf
- MONIT_REP_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- MONIT_REP_844_01JAN2013_31DEC2013.pdf
- MONIT_REP_844_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- MONIT_REP_844_01JAN2017_31DEC2017.pdf
- MONIT_REP_844_01JAN2009_31DEC2012.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report-final.pdf
- MONIT_REP_844_01JAN2016_31DEC2016.pdf
- VCS-Monitoring-Report-Template-v4.0 (2019) 15th December VERRA.pdf
- Project Area.kml
- RISK_ASSESSMENT_844.pdf
- Partial-Release-Registration-Representation-v4.2- signed by Greenox and Maderacre.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2013_31DEC2013.pdf
- 3ISS_PRR_844_26SEPT2016.pdf
- MDDARP_VCS_NonPermRisk_16may2013.pdf
- CCB_IMP_REP_ESP_844_01JAN2009_31DEC2013_V2.pdf
- COMMS_AGR_844_25SEP2012.pdf
- PP_REG_REP_844_25SEP2012.pdf
- VERIF_REP_844_01JAN2013_31DEC2013.pdf
- AFOLU_PROJ_ELEM_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- CCB_PROJ_IMPL_REP_844_01JAN2013_31DEC2013.PDF
- CCB_IMP_REP_SUM_ESP_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2018.pdf
- PP_LIST_REP_###_10MAY2012.pdf
- VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report-Template-v4.0 28 setiembre 2019 v4.pdf
- AFOLU_ELEM_844_01JAN2013_31DEC2013.pdf
- CCB_VALID_STA_844_02DEC2009.pdf
- Communications_Agreement.pdf
- AFOLU_ELEM_844_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- AFOLU_PROJ_ELEM_844_01JAN2017_31DEC2017.pdf
- AFOLU_ELEM_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2018.pdf
- VCS-Non-Permanence-Risk-Report-Template-v4.0 MDDARP vintage2020 v3 September.pdf
- AFOLU_PROJ_ELEM_844_01JAN216_31DEC2016.pdf
- VCS_Registration_Representation.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_844_11SEP2012.pdf
- CCB_PROJ_DESC_844_DEC2009.pdf
- PROJ_DESC_###_27MAY2011.pdf
- VCS_Greenoxx_MadreDeDios_ValidationRepresentation_V3.0_092412.pdf

- madredeios-6.pdf
- VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2017_31DEC2017.pdf
- VCS_GX-MDD_VerificationRepresentation_052113.pdf
- CCB_VALID_REP_844_02DEC2009.pdf
- VALID_REP_844_20SEP2012.pdf
- VERIF_REP_844_01JAN2017_31DEC2017.pdf
- VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2009_31DEC2012.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_REP_844_01JAN2013_31DEC2013.pdf
- VERIF_REP_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2014.pdf
- Verra-Registry-Communications-Agreement-multiple-PPs-4753635-v1-SYDDMS signed.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Report_19082021.pdf
- VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- VCS_Greenoxx_MadreDeDios_Final_Validation_Report_092512.pdf
- Project844_DEED_OF_PARTIAL_RELEASE Verra signed.pdf
- VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2018.pdf
- VERIF_REP_844_01JAN2009_31DEC2012.pdf
- CCB_VALID_REP_ENG_844_02DEC2009.pdf
- VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2013_31DEC2013.pdf
- VERIF_REP_844_01JAN2015_31DEC2015.pdf
- VERIF_REP_844_01JAN2016_31DEC2016.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_REP_ENG_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2018.pdf
- CCB_VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2014_31DEC2018.pdf
- VERIF_STA_844_01JAN2016_31DEC2016.pdf
- VCS_Greenoxx_MDD2019_VerificationRepresentation_V1-2_121620.pdf
- VCS_Greenoxx_MDD2019_VerificationReport_V1-3_121620.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-22A8382A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-22 · Generated: 2026-04-22

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy