

QUALITY REPORT

Grid Connected Wind Power Project in Tamil Nadu

VCS-909 · Verified Carbon Standard · India

Report ID: CM-AF4621CB · Generated: 2026-04-01 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.6 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	2.0
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.5
	■ Documentation (15%)	2.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

Evidence scope is marketplace_only (Carbonfootprint Store description only), so confidence is low and scores are conservative. As a grid-connected wind project in India, additionality is a known risk area, but there is no accessible VCS registry record, PDD, monitoring, or verification evidence here to substantiate baseline, grid factor, or issuance/retirement traceability.

Project Details

Registry	Verified Carbon Standard
Registry ID	VCS-909
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2021
Confidence	Low
Documents Reviewed	34 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-01

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

- ~ Grid-connected wind in India has known additionality risk; no investment/additionality evidence provided
- No data on grid emission factor, net generation, leakage, or verification findings

Based on marketplace metadata only, the project is a 24.75 MW grid-connected wind plant claiming ~39,000 tCO₂e/year avoided emissions, but there is no third-party verification report or monitoring data provided to validate the baseline grid emission factor or net generation. For Indian grid-connected renewables, additionality is often mixed due to mature wind markets and policy support; without a VCS verification statement or investment analysis, additionality cannot be confirmed. Permanence risk is generally low for renewable energy, but baseline and additionality uncertainty drive the score down.

Transparency — 2.0 / 10

- No link to Verra/VCS registry page, issuance records, serial numbers, or retirements
- ~ Only high-level marketplace narrative; limited auditability

No public links are provided to a Verra/VCS registry project page, issuance serial numbers, retirements, PDD, monitoring reports, or verification reports. The marketplace description contains high-level claims but does not provide an auditable document trail. Given the lack of primary documents, transparency is scored low.

Claim Safety — 4.5 / 10

- Vintage/issuance year and retirement/serial-number proof not provided; double-claim risk cannot be assessed
- ~ No CCP/ICVCM or corresponding adjustment information stated (not necessarily required, but reduces claim certainty)

With no accessible registry record or retirement/serial-number evidence, a buyer cannot easily substantiate exclusive ownership/retirement or assess double-counting risk from the information provided. The listing does not indicate ICVCM/CCP status, corresponding adjustment/Article 6 authorization, or clear claim guidance beyond generic offset language. Quantity available is small (119.56 tCO₂e), but vintage/issuance year is not stated, increasing the risk of older vintages being marketed as current.

Documentation — 2.0 / 10

- No public PDD, monitoring report, or third-party verification report accessible from the listing
- Recency of MRV and verification unknown

No PDD, monitoring report, or third-party verification report is provided or linked in the marketplace metadata. Recency of verification and the monitoring period are unknown. This results in a very low documentation score and triggers the documentation gate.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Unresolved risk (no verification/PDD evidence; Indian wind o
● Permanence	Low reversal risk typical for renewable energy, but not docu
● Leakage	Not assessed (no monitoring/verification evidence; typically
● Baseline	Not assessed (no grid EF vintage/source or verification evid
● Safeguards	Social/stakeholder claims not supported by public safeguard
● Double-claim	Cannot confirm retirement/serial numbers or registry traceab

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a direct link to the Verra/VCS registry project page showing project ID, issuances, serial ranges, and retirement status for the 119.56 tCO₂e offered

→ Publish/download links to the latest VVB verification report and corresponding monitoring report (including monitoring period dates, net generation, and applied grid emission factor)

→ Disclose credit vintage(s) and the exact retirement/claim process (who retires, when, and how the buyer receives retirement confirmation)

Documents Reviewed

- Issuance Representation
- Registration and Issuance Review Report
- Monitoring Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Registration Representation
- Project Description
- Verification Report
- Verification Representation
- Validation Representation
- Validation Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-AF4621CB · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-01 · Generated: 2026-04-01

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy