

QUALITY REPORT

Sustainable Community

VCS-929 · VCS · Canada

Report ID: CM-A94A9ADF · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.5
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.5
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.5
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project shows some positive elements (VVB-reviewed additionality, recent monitoring report, FPIC and grievance mechanism) but is weakened by multiple open corrective actions/CARs, contradictions between documents (additionality test type, leakage treatment, crediting period), and CORSIA eligibility which raises double-claim risk. Documentation is present and recent but material findings remain open, reducing confidence in issuance reliability.

Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-929
Sector	other
Country	Canada
Vintage	2016, 2017, 2018, 2019
Project Methodology	VM0018 1.0
Crediting Period	2010 — 2029
VVB	VCS Earthood Services Limited (ESL)
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	66 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

Red Flags

- Multiple open corrective action requests and CARs listed in the monitoring report.
- Contradiction between PDD and monitoring report on leakage treatment (quantified vs deemed negligible).
- Project is CORSIA-eligible, increasing double-claim risk without explicit exclusion.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.5 / 10

+ Additionality confirmed by the VVB in the monitoring report (monitoring report, 2025-10-21).

- Many open CARs and corrective actions remain (monitoring report, 2025-10-21) and reversal events are not addressed, reducing robustness.

Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the latest monitoring report (monitoring report, 2025-10-21), and the project uses a project-specific baseline (methodology VM0018). However, many corrective actions and CARs remain open in the monitoring report, and reversal events are described as 'not_addressed' even though one field says 'Not applicable for the project activity' (monitoring report, 2025-10-21). Buffer pool percentage is not stated and leakage deduction percentage is not provided, so permanence and leakage treatment are weakly supported. These gaps and unresolved findings reduce the integrity score.

Transparency — 5.5 / 10

+ Recent monitoring period and named VVB are provided (monitoring report, 2025-10-21; VVB: VCS Earthood Services Limited).

- Unreported verification/claim reconciliation: verified and claimed emission reductions are not stated in available documents.

The project has a named VVB (VCS Earthood Services Limited), a clearly stated monitoring period (2024-01-01 — 2024-12-31) and multiple evidence documents including PDD, validation and monitoring reports (evidence_docs list). Extraction confidence is high and the monitoring report is recent (2025-10-21). However, key public numbers (total emission reductions claimed vs verified, leakage deduction percentage, and grid emission factor) are not stated in the available extracts, limiting transparency.

Claim Safety — 3.5 / 10

- Project is CORSIA-eligible (monitoring report, 2025-10-21), increasing double-claim/market overlap risk.

- Leakage treatment is contradictory across documents (PDD vs monitoring report), creating over-crediting uncertainty.

The project is marked as CORSIA-eligible (monitoring report, 2025-10-21), which raises double-claim and market overlap concerns. Leakage justification is contradictory: the PDD (2013-07-05) indicated leakage was quantified, whereas the latest monitoring report (2025-10-21) states leakage is 'deemed negligible'. FN/RB values and usage rates are not provided. These inconsistencies and missing parameters increase over-crediting risk and lower claim safety.

Documentation — 4.8 / 10

+ Multiple evidence documents and recent monitoring report included (evidence_docs list; monitoring report dated 2025-10-21).

- Numerous open material findings/CARs listed in the monitoring report weaken documentation credibility.

There are multiple documents available (PDD, validation report, monitoring report, issuance) and nine documents were used with high extraction confidence, and the monitoring report is recent (2025-10-21). VVB is named. Despite this, the monitoring report lists many material findings and numerous open CARs/CLs and required corrective actions, which undermines the credibility and completeness of documentation until those are closed.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB confirms but inconsistent tests
● Permanence	reversal treatment not clear
● Leakage	contradictory treatment
● Baseline	project baseline, last reassessed 2021
● Safeguards	FPIC & grievance present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible (risk of overlap)

What Would Improve This Score

→ Close all open CARs/CLs and provide evidence of corrective actions/resolutions in a follow-up verification.

→ Clarify and reconcile leakage treatment across project documents (provide quantification or robust justification) and publish claimed vs verified emission reductions.

Documents Reviewed

- Registration and Issuance Review Report
- Issuance Representation
- Issuance Review Report
- ERR Calculation Spreadsheet
- Monitoring Report
- Registration Representation
- Confidential
- Project Concept Note
- Project Description
- Verification Representation
- Verification Report
- Validation Report
- Validation Representation

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A94A9ADF · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy