

## QUALITY REPORT

# Mai Ndombe

VCS-934 · VCS · D. Republic of the Congo

Report ID: CM-FBBB63CD · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 5.6

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.0
■ Transparency (25%)	5.5
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.5
■ Documentation (15%)	6.5

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project shows several positive controls: a VVB-confirmed investment additionality test, a jurisdictional baseline in recent documents, FPIC and a grievance mechanism, and no material findings. However, multiple contradictions across key fields (buffer pool size, baseline type, reversal treatment, and verified ERR) and some missing quantified inputs reduce confidence and increase risk of over-crediting.

## Project Details

Registry	Verra (VCS)
Registry ID	VCS-934
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	D. Republic of the Congo
Vintage	2011
Project Methodology	VM0009 2.0
Crediting Period	2011 — 2041
VVB	Earthood Services Limited
Verified ERs	10,773,562 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	73 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

## Red Flags

- Conflicting total verified emissions removal figures (3,398,286 vs 10,773,562) across validation reports
- Inconsistent buffer pool percentage reported (25% vs 16%) and contradictory treatment of reversals
- Leakage justification contradictory within monitoring documents (both 'quantified' and 'not addressed')

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.0 / 10

- + additionality confirmed by the VVB via an investment test (validation report)
- contradictions on buffer pool (25% vs 16%) and inconsistent reversal treatment reduce permanence confidence

Additionality is documented and confirmed by the VVB using an investment test (validation report), and the project uses a buffer mechanism (AFOLU Buffer Account discussed). However, there are contradictions: the buffer pool percentage is reported as 25% in an older monitoring report and 16% in a more recent validation report, and reversal treatment is reported as 'none reported' in older documentation but 'not addressed' in the latest validation. Baseline method is reported as jurisdictional in a 2021 monitoring report but as project-level in a 2017 monitoring report; the more recent jurisdictional baseline is privileged. These inconsistencies reduce confidence in permanence and leakage handling.

### Transparency — 5.5 / 10

- + VVB named (Earthood Services Limited) and a recent monitoring period provided (2022)
- key numbers inconsistent between documents (large discrepancy in total verified ER removals), and some fields not stated (grid EF, usage rates)

A named VVB (Earthood Services Limited), a recent monitoring period (2022) and a monitoring report dated 2025 indicate recent activity and some transparency. Nevertheless, critical numeric fields are missing or inconsistent: grid emission factor, assumed/verified usage rates and the total ERR figures are contradictory across validation reports (3,398,286 vs 10,773,562). The minimum extraction confidence is medium, which further lowers evidence reliability.

### Claim Safety — 4.5 / 10

- + baseline reported as jurisdictional in the 2021 monitoring report, which lowers over-crediting risk if correct
- leakage treatment shows a 0% deduction with contradictory justification and baseline/method contradictions increase over-crediting risk

There is some mitigation of over-claiming risk because a jurisdictional baseline is present in recent documents and additionality was VVB-confirmed. On the negative side, leakage is reported as 'quantified' in one document but 'not addressed' elsewhere, and a leakage deduction of 0% combined with contradictory justification raises concern. The large discrepancies in total verified ER removals also materially increase claim risk.

### Documentation — 6.5 / 10

- + 80 documents used and multiple evidence doc types (PDD, monitoring and validation reports, issuance)
- medium extraction confidence and recent validation/monitoring contradictions indicate data reliability issues

Documentation breadth is good (80 documents, PDD, monitoring, validation, issuance), and no material findings or corrective actions were reported in the extracted records. However, the minimum extraction confidence is medium (some source readability issues), and key contradictions between monitoring and validation reports (buffer pool, reversals, ERR, baseline method) reduce the effective quality of the documentation.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	buffer present but contradictory
● <b>Leakage</b>	quantified but inconsistent/0% deduction
● <b>Baseline</b>	jurisdictional reported but earlier project baseline exists
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance present
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Resolve and publicly reconcile contradictions in verified ER figures, buffer percentage and baseline method across validation and monitoring reports

→ Provide explicit, consistent leakage justification and any usage/usage rate monitoring data, and increase extraction confidence by supplying higher quality source scans

## Documents Reviewed

- Signed\_Mai\_Ndombe\_M5\_Issuance-Representation-Single-PP-v4.3.pdf
- PP\_ISS\_REP\_934\_14MAR2011\_31OCT2012.pdf
- ISS\_REP\_934\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- PP\_ISS\_REP\_934\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- Mai Ndombe\_M4\_VCS\_Issuance\_Representation\_Single Representor\_v4.2\_WWC.pdf
- VCS\_CCB\_V4\_PRR\_0934\_01JAN2021\_31DEC2021.pdf
- CCB\_MONIT\_REP\_ENG\_934\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- MNRP M4 MR Summary\_French V2.pdf
- VCS\_CCB\_MON\_REP\_934\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- MNRP M3 MR Summary\_French V5.pdf
- MNRP M3 MR Summary\_Lingala V5.pdf
- MNRP M4 MR Summary\_English V2.pdf
- CCB\_Summary\_French\_934\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- CCB VCS MR 934 01Jan2022-31Dec2022\_Round3\_clean.pdf
- MNRP M5 MR Summary\_Lingala V1.pdf
- MNRP M4 MR Summary\_Lingala V2.pdf
- Mai Ndombe M5 MR VCS\_v4.3 CCB\_v2.0 v1.0.pdf
- Mai Ndombe M4 MR\_PIR\_CCB v2.0\_VCSv3.4\_v2.pdf
- MNRP M4 MR Summary\_French V3.pdf
- MNRP M5 MR Summary\_French V1.pdf
- Mai Ndombe M3 MR\_PIR\_CCB v2.0\_VCSv3.4\_v2.3.pdf
- CCB\_Summary\_English\_934\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- VCS\_CCB\_MON\_REP\_934\_01JAN2021\_31DEC2021.pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_934\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- MNRP M4 MR Summary\_English V3.pdf
- MNRP M3 MR Summary\_English V5.pdf
- MNRP M5 MR Summary\_English V1.pdf
- CCB\_MONIT\_REP\_SUM\_FRN\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- MNRP M4 MR Summary\_Lingala V3.pdf
- CCB\_MONIT\_REP\_SUM\_LINGALA\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- CCB\_MONIT\_REP\_SUM\_ENG\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- CCB\_Summary\_Lingala\_934\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- MONIT\_REP\_934\_14MAR2011\_31OCT2012.pdf
- Mai\_Ndombe\_PAA.kml
- MaiNdombe\_PA\_UTM.kml
- NPRR\_934\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- Mai Ndombe M5\_v3.2.xlsx
- Public Comments\_934.docx
- Signed\_Mai\_Ndombe\_M5\_AFOLU-Buffer-Account-Compensation-for-Reversals-Deed-Template-Single-PP-v1.2.pdf
- AFOLU\_PROJ\_ELEM\_934\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- Mai\_Ndombe\_VCS\_NPR\_REP\_934\_12\_31\_2021\_12\_30\_2022 (1).pdf
- CCB\_VCS\_CP1\_V5\_PRR\_0934\_23DEC2025.pdf
- Summary of Public Comments 934.pdf
- PP\_REG\_REP\_934\_07DEC2012.pdf
- VCS\_EXEMPTION-APPROVED\_0934\_15AUG2024.pdf
- 934 Baseline Reassessment Exemption\_JANUARY 2023.pdf
- CCB\_PROJ\_DESC\_934\_31OCT2012.pdf

- CCB\_VALID\_REP\_934\_06DEC2012.pdf
- PP\_PARTIAL\_RELEASE\_934\_16DEC2013.pdf
- PRR\_934\_ISS2\_24OCT2017\_CLOSED.pdf
- COMMS\_AGR\_934\_07DEC2012.pdf
- CCB\_VALID\_STA\_934\_12JUN2012.pdf
- CCB\_VERIF\_STA\_934\_14MAR2011\_31OCT2012.pdf
- PROJ\_DESC\_934\_19NOV2012.pdf
- ERA-Wildlife\_Works\_DRC\_PD\_1.63.pdf
- VCS\_VERIF\_STA\_934\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- VERIF\_STA\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- VERIF\_REP\_934\_14MAR2011\_31OCT2012.pdf
- Verification\_Statement\_Template\_CCBv3.0\_934.pdf
- CCB\_VERIF\_STA\_934\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- VCS-Verification-Representation-v4.1\_934.pdf
- CCB\_VERIF\_REP\_ENG\_934\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- VCS\_CCB\_VERIF\_REP\_01JAN2017\_31DEC2020.pdf
- VERIF\_STA\_934\_14MAR2011\_31OCT2012.pdf
- VALID\_REP\_934\_06DEC2012.pdf
- VCS\_CCB\_VERIF\_REP\_934\_01JAN2021\_31DEC2021.pdf
- VALID\_STA\_934\_06DEC2012.pdf
- VERIF\_REP\_934\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf
- Verification-Representation-v4.2\_934.pdf
- CCB\_VCS\_VerR\_934\_20251219\_Clean.pdf
- Verification\_Statement\_Template\_CCBv3.0\_934\_updated.pdf
- CCB\_VERIF\_STA\_01NOV2012\_31DEC2016.pdf

---

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-FBBB63CD · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)