

QUALITY REPORT

1MW Grid Connected Wind Electricity Generation at Ngong Hills, Kenya

XEP-9aba38 · CDM · Kenya

Report ID: CM-D89D6C05 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

1.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	2.0
	■ Transparency (25%)	0.5
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	0.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The extracted record contains no usable project documentation, so core quality elements (additionality, baseline, leakage, and monitoring) cannot be substantiated. With low extraction confidence and zero documents captured, the risk of over-crediting or misrepresentation cannot be screened reliably.

Project Details

Registry	UNFCCC CDM
Registry ID	XEP-9aba38
Sector	other
Country	Kenya
Vintage	2025
Confidence	Low
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- No project documents were available in the extracted record (0 documents), preventing validation of additionality, baseline, leakage, and monitoring claims
- Low extraction confidence indicates at least one key document was poorly readable, further reducing reliability

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 2.0 / 10

- Additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and any non-conformities were not found in the extracted record
- No contradictions were flagged, but this provides little assurance given the absence of source documents

No evidence documents were captured in the extracted record, so additionality testing, baseline methodology, leakage treatment, and any permanence/reversal provisions are not stated in available documents. With no monitoring or verification content available, it is not possible to confirm whether any material findings or corrective actions occurred. This creates a high uncertainty and warrants a very conservative integrity score.

Transparency — 0.5 / 10

- No VVB name, monitoring period, or verified vs claimed ER figures were found in the extracted record
- Registry-relevant fields (e.g., CORSIA eligibility, MRV methods) were not found in the extracted record

The extracted record contains no VVB identity, monitoring period, or claimed-versus-verified emission reduction figures, so MRV transparency cannot be evaluated. No information was found on how grid emission factors or other key parameters were determined. Low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that the public record was captured accurately.

Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- Over-crediting risk cannot be assessed because the baseline method and any leakage justification were not found in the extracted record
- Eligibility/label risks cannot be screened because CCP/CORSIA status was not found in the extracted record

Because the baseline method and leakage justification were not found in the extracted record, the risk of over-crediting cannot be screened. No information was found on CORSIA eligibility or CCP status, so downstream claim/label risks cannot be assessed. Given these evidence gaps, claim safety is scored conservatively low.

Documentation — 0.8 / 10

- Zero documents were captured in the evidence set (no PDD/monitoring/verification reports available in the extracted record)
- Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating poor readability of at least one key source

The evidence set is empty (0 documents used), meaning core CDM artifacts (PDD, monitoring reports, verification reports) were not available in the extracted record. Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key source was poorly readable, which further undermines completeness and reliability. This triggers a very low documentation score (note: documentation < 3.0 would cap transparency under the gate rules).

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality assessment found
● Permanence	Reversal/buffer provisions not evidenced
● Leakage	No leakage treatment found
● Baseline	Baseline method not found
● Safeguards	No safeguards evidence found
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide the CDM PDD and the latest monitoring and verification reports so additionality, baseline selection, and MRV results can be checked against CDM requirements
- Provide registry/issuance details including verified vs issued ERs, VVB identity, and any corrective actions or non-conformities from validation/verification

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-D89D6C05 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy